## **Evaluation Criteria Summary**

The following table describes the criteria and performance measurements used to evaluate the alternatives developed by the Technical Advisory Committee.

## **Evaluation Criteria Summary**

Category	Performance Measure*	Description
<b>Transportation Opera</b>	tions	
Mobility - Traffic flow at signalized intersections or for critical movements	Volume to Capacity (v/c) Ratio	Quantitative comparison for 2025
Operations – applied design standards	Safety, Consistency with Standards, Pedestrian, Bicycle, Transit, Freight Movement	Qualitative with supporting facts (e.g. ORE 22 overpass less desirable than ORE 99W overpass due to downward off-ramp grade from ORE 22)
Impacts – Environmental,	Economic, and Land Use	
Environment	Air, water, and energy	Mostly qualitative with supporting facts (based on ODOT staff comments and literature search)
Environment	Resource lands, biology, wetlands, and Hazardous Materials	Qualitative with supporting facts (based on ODOT staff comments and literature search)
Environment	Noise, visual, and social impacts	Qualitative with supporting facts (based on ODOT staff comments and literature search)
Land Use	Right-of-way (no. of affected parcels)	Quantitative comparison
Economic	Relocations (No. of relocations)	Quantitative comparison
Implementation		
Plan consistency	Federal, State, and Polk County	Statement of consistency or note of inconsistent elements
Phasing flexibility	Separable components	Qualitative comparison focused on feasibility to separate construction of components
Total Costs	Construction and ROW Costs	Quantitative comparison

	Recommended Alternatives					
Evaluation Criteria	1A	2C	<b>4B</b>	5C	6C	7A
Mobility - V/C ratio	• NA	• 2015 - 1.11	• 2015 - 0.82	• 2015 - 0.76	• 2015 - NA	• 2015 - NA
for signalized intersections or critical movements		• 2025 - 1.32	• 2025 - 1.00	• 2025 - 0.86	• 2025 - 0.56	• 2025 - 0.77
Operations - Safety and consistency with geometric design standards	<ul> <li>Potential for immediate safety benefits</li> <li>OR 22/99W intersection and OR/22 Dallas- Rickreall Highway intersections are too closely spaced</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Eliminates turning conflicts</li> <li>Lane imbalance on westbound approach</li> <li>Reduces the length of storage for left-turning traffic and reduces speed differential conflicts on OR 22</li> <li>Provides an area for eastbound to southbound traffic on OR 22 to decelerate out of the through traffic stream</li> <li>OR 22/99W intersection and OR/22 Dallas-Rickreall Highway intersections are too closely spaced</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Eliminates turning conflicts</li> <li>Separates Dallasbound traffic from OR 22</li> <li>Reduces spacing conflicts for OR22/99W intersection and OR22/Dallas-Rickreall Highway intersection</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>At-grade intersection eliminated</li> <li>Deceleration and acceleration lanes improve safety and traffic flow</li> <li>Westbound weave for Dallas-bound traffic is eliminated</li> <li>OR 22/99W intersection and OR/22 Dallas- Rickreall Highway intersections are too closely spaced</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Heavy westbound to southbound and northbound to eastbound movements can be accommodated without a traffic signal for approximately 20 years</li> <li>Westbound weave for Dallas-bound traffic is eliminated</li> <li>Does not meet interchange spacing standards</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Full grade-separation</li> <li>Westbound weave for Dallas-bound traffic is eliminated</li> <li>May eliminate gaps in traffic through Rickreall</li> <li>Meets interchange spacing standards</li> </ul>
Impacts	• None	• None	Possible archeologi cal resources	Possible archeologi cal resources	Possible archeologi cal resources	Possible archeologi cal resources
			• Possible	• Possible	• Possible	Possible
			presence	presence	presence	presence
			of	of	of	of
			Kincaid's	Kincaid's	Kincaid's	Kincaid's

			lupine and	lupine and	lupine and	lupine and
			Meadow sidalcea	Meadow sidalcea	Meadow sidalcea	Meadow sidalcea
			• Minor impacts	• Moderate	• Moderate	• Most
			to agricultura l land	impacts to agricultura l land	impacts to agricultura l land	significant impacts to agricultura l land
Implementation - Plan consistency	<ul> <li>Consistent with OHP Major Improvem ent Policy</li> <li>Consistent with local plans</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Consistent with OHP Access Manageme nt and Major Improvem ent Policies</li> </ul>	Consistent with OHP Access Manageme nt and Major Improvem ent Policies	Consistent with OHP Access Manageme nt and Major Improvem ent Policies	Consistent with OHP Access Manageme nt and Major Improvem ent Dalicies	<ul> <li>Consistent with OHP Access Manageme nt and Major Improvem ent Policies</li> </ul>
	• Consistent with TPR	Consistent with	Consistent with	Consistent with	Policies <ul> <li>Consistent with</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Consistent with</li> </ul>
	IIK	<ul> <li>Consistent with local plans</li> <li>Consistent with TPR</li> </ul>	OHP "expressw ay" designatio n	OHP "expressw ay" designatio n	OHP "expressw ay" designatio n	OHP "expressw ay" designatio n
			<ul> <li>Consistent with local plans</li> <li>Consistent with TPR</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Consistent with local plans</li> <li>Consistent with TPR</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Consistent with local plans</li> <li>Consistent with TPR</li> </ul>	• Consistent with OHP interchang e spacing standard
						• Consistent with local plans
						• Consistent with TPR

Implementation -						
Maintenance and operations						
Implementation -	Environmental	Environmental	• Environmental	• Environmental	• Environmental	Environmental
Costs						
	&	&				& D 1' '
	Preliminar	Preliminar	Preliminar	Preliminar (	Preliminar (	Preliminar
	<u>у</u>	y	У	У	y	<u>у</u> —
	Engineerin	Engineerin	Engineerin	<mark>Engineerin</mark>	<mark>Engineerin</mark>	Engineerin
	g -	g -	<mark>g -</mark>	<mark>g -</mark>	<mark>g -</mark>	g -
		\$200,000	<mark>\$600,000</mark>	<mark>\$1,200,00</mark>	<mark>\$1,560,00</mark>	\$1,728,00
	• Engineering &			0	0	0
	Constructi	• Engineering &	<ul> <li>Engineering &amp;</li> </ul>			
	on -	Constructi	Constructi	<ul> <li>Engineering &amp;</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Engineering &amp;</li> </ul>	• Engineering &
		on -	<mark>on -</mark>	Constructi	Constructi	Constructi
	• Right-of-way -	\$2,900,00	<mark>\$7,500,00</mark>	<mark>on -</mark>	<mark>on -</mark>	on -
	\$0	0	0	<mark>\$15,000,0</mark>	<mark>\$19,500,0</mark>	\$21,600,0
				00	00	00
	• Total -	• Right-of-way -	• Right-of-way -			
		\$0	\$240,000	• Right-of-way -	• Right-of-way -	• Right-of-way -
		1 -		\$240,000	\$600,000	\$600,000
		• Total -	• Total -			+ ,
		\$3,100,00	\$8,340,00	• Total -	• Total -	• Total -
		0	0 0	\$16,440,0	\$21,660,0	\$23,928,0
		U		$\frac{10,440,0}{00}$	$\frac{921,000,0}{00}$	\$23,728,0 00
			-			00
L	1	I	1		1	