Crash and Operational Data (Existing and Future Conditions)

This appendix includes a technical memorandum analyzing safety and operations data for OR 22 (W). Also, attached are the raw traffic count data at intersections in the study area.

OR 22 West (Derry Overcrossing to Doaks Ferry Road) Expressway Management Plan --Task 2 - Existing and Future Transportation Conditions

PREPARED FOR:	Dan Fricke/ODOT Project Coordinator Project Management Team
PREPARED BY:	Haregu Nemariam/CH2M HILL Matt Hughart/Kittelson Associates Andra Henriques/CH2M HILL Larry Weymouth/CH2M HILL HILL
COPIES:	Consultant Team File
DATE:	April 18, 2008
PROJECT NUMBER:	356019.01.02

Executive Summary

Task 2 of the OR 22 (W) Expressway Management Plan for the segment located between Derry Overcrossing (MP 16.94) and Doaks Ferry Road (MP22.04) of the highway is divided into Tasks 2A and 2B. Task 2A examined the existing operational, safety and access conditions and Task 2B examined year 2030 operational conditions for the study area mentioned above.

Historical data and background planning documents provided by the Oregon Department of Transportation (ODOT) were reviewed and compared to the existing traffic conditions. The documents reviewed included:

- The September and August 2001 Willamette River Bridges to Greenwood Rd OR 22 Expressway Refinement Plan
- 1999 Oregon Highway Plan
- Oregon Administrative Rules Access Spacing Standards (OAR 734-051-0115)

The operational evaluation for Task 2A included traffic analyses for the existing traffic conditions for 3 segments and 12 unsignalized intersections along the highway.

Base year volume analysis was conducted using the Sychro model. The results of the analysis show that 3 of the 12 intersections analyzed currently fail and that the segment of OR 22 east of OR 51 experiences higher traffic volumes in the westbound direction resulting in a volume-to-capacity ratio that is at the 0.70 standard. West of OR 51, traffic volumes drop to a level that results in a significantly lower mainline volume-to-capacity ratio.

The safety evaluation for Task 2A included analysis of crash types and calculations of crash rates along OR 22 for the years 2002 through 2006. (The memo of 5/9/07 with 2001-2005 data has been updated.) The results of crash types analysis show high rear-end and angle/turning type crashes in the general vicinity of the intersection of OR 22/Independence Highway, which made it a top 10 percent Safety Priority Index System (SPIS) site for 2004-2006. The OR 22/51 and OR 22/Doaks Ferry Road intersections were listed in the top 5 percent SPIS sites for the years 2003-2005.

The 5-year average crash rates for the segments of OR 22 from the west-end of the study area to the SKATS urban study area (Oak Grove Road) and from the urban study area boundary to the eastern-end of the study area are 0.36 and 0.65 crashes per million vehicle miles, respectively. These crash rates are well below the 5-year statewide average crash rate for other freeways/expressways.

The existing access spacing along OR 22 within the study area was examined to determine whether or not the Oregon Administrative Rule (OAR 734-051-0115) spacing standards are met. Currently, none of the existing access spacing along OR 22 within the study area met the OAR access spacing standards.

The operational evaluation for Task 2B included developing year 2030 design hour volumes and conducting traffic analysis of these volumes for the No-Build condition of the study locations that were evaluated in Task 2A. The process used in developing and analyzing future year design hour volumes is described under Task 2B in this report.

OR 22 segments east of OR 51 and 10 of the 12 intersections evaluated are projected to exceed ODOT's capacity standard in the year 2030. The westbound OR 22 west of OR 51 is also expected to exceed ODOT's capacity. Capacity improvements for the failed segments and intersections will be discussed under Task 5 of this study.

Task 2A – Existing Traffic Conditions

The purpose of this task is to document the existing traffic conditions for the OR 22 (Willamina-Salem Highway) study corridor located between the Derry Overcrossing and Doaks Drive. The following discussion documents the data collection, study methodology and the findings of the operational analysis for the year 2007 existing traffic conditions.

Existing Traffic Volumes

Within the OR 22 study corridor, there are a number of intersecting roadways that include small local streets serving small business and rural homes located outside of the Salem city limits. In addition, there are larger arterials that provide regional access to rural properties and one other state highway (OR 51) that provide regional access to other nearby communities in Polk County. Based on discussions with ODOT staff, a number of these intersections are critical from the perspective of either providing access to adjacent properties or serving as local/regional connectors. As such, traffic data were gathered for the following intersections in developing the OR 22 Expressway Management Plan:

- 1. OR 22 / Rickreall Road
- 2. OR 22 / Oak Knoll Golf Course Driveway
- 3. OR 22 / N. Oak Grove Road
- 4. OR 22 / S. Oak Grove Road

- 5. OR 22 / OR 51
- 6. OR 22 / 52nd Avenue
- 7. OR 22 / 50th Avenue
- 8. OR 22 / Eola Bend RV Park Access
- 9. OR 22 / Mill Street
- 10. OR 22 / Shaw Street
- 11. OR 22 / College Drive

To assess the existing conditions at the intersections listed above, manual turning movement counts (3:00 – 6:00 p.m.) were obtained during typical mid-week days in March 2007. In addition to these new traffic counts, historical April 2006 traffic counts were obtained from ODOT staff at the following three intersections:

- OR 22/Greenwood Road
- OR 22/OR 51
- OR 22/Doaks Ferry Road

Given the historical nature of the three 2006 traffic counts, an analysis was performed to determine if any growth adjustments were necessary to reflect upstream and downstream volumes at the more recent 2007 study area traffic counts. From this analysis, it was found that there was no significant increase in traffic volumes that would warrant artificial growth adjustments. Accordingly, a cumulative assessment of all study area traffic counts revealed 4:30 – 5:30 p.m. to be the system peak hour. The traffic count sheets are provided in Attachment A.

Seasonal Variation Adjustment/30th Hour

It is recognized that certain highways in Oregon are prone to traffic volume fluctuations due to the effects of seasonal variation. Typically, the summer months experience higher traffic volumes due to additional traffic from recreation enthusiasts and vacationers, while nonsummer months tend to experience lower traffic volumes. Using the methodology outlined by ODOT's Transportation Planning Analysis Unit, a seasonal adjustment factor of 1.09 was calculated for movements along the OR 22 study corridor, 1.07 for movements along the OR 51 corridor, and 1.06 for movements off of the remaining side-street study intersections¹. These adjustment factors were applied to the weekday p.m. peak hour intersection turning movement counts to represent the 30th highest hour volume, or the base year volume. After accounting for seasonal variation in traffic, the adjusted turning movement counts were balanced and rounded to the nearest five vehicles per hour as shown in Figure 1. This figure is provided in Attachment B

Study Methodology

A Synchro model was constructed for the study corridor using the roadway geometries and the adjusted 30th hour traffic volumes. This model was used to assess existing operations along the study corridor.

¹ Located within the study corridor is the Oak Knoll Permanent Automatic Traffic Recorder Station (27-006). Based on a historical review of average weekday traffic volumes, a seasonal adjustment factor of 1.09 was calculated for the OR 22 corridor. For OR 51, there is no representative ATR station nearby. Accordingly, the Seasonal Trend Methodology was utilized to generate a seasonal adjustment factor of 1.07. Finally, a fairly conservative adjustment factor of 1.06 was applied to all remaining movements on non ODOT highways to remain consistent with previous planning studies along the study corridor. APPENDIX D-EXISTING AND FUTURE YEAR 2030 NO-BUILD CONDITION 041708 FINAL

To ensure that the analyses are based on a worst-case scenario, the peak 15-minute flow rates during the peak hours were used in the evaluation of all intersection levels of service. For this reason, the analyses reflect conditions that are only likely to occur for the worst 15 minutes out of each typical peak hour. Traffic conditions during all other weekday time periods and throughout the weekend will likely operate under better conditions than described in this report. A summary of the existing lane configurations and traffic control devices are shown in Figure 2. The traffic operations summary worksheets and figures for the study intersections are also provided in Attachment B.

Performance Measures

The 1999 Oregon Highway Plan (OHP) outlines specific performance measures to be maintained along ODOT facilities as part of their Highway Mobility Standards. These standards are aimed at maintaining mobility along important road corridors and vary according to functional classification, location, and role within the National Highway System (NHS).

The following intersection performance measures are applicable for facilities within this study:

- Volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.70 for movements along OR 22 given its classification as a Statewide, NHS Expressway.
- Volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.80 for all movements along OR 22 that must stop or yield the right-of-way.

Traffic Operations Analysis

Unsignalized Intersection Analysis

All of the intersections along the OR 22 study corridor are currently unsignalized. For unsignalized intersections, the operations assessment is typically based on the intersection's ability to accommodate the worst or critical movement. This is typically the minor-street stop-controlled movement.

Table 1 provides a summary of the 12 stop-controlled or yield controlled intersection movements in order to determine how all of the critical intersection movements are operating during the existing 30th hour conditions.

Although the intersection of OR 22/College Drive is not part of the formal OR 22 study area, data for this intersection are presented in the appendices. The Project Management Team has noted that there is a potential to link Doaks Ferry Road and College Drive; thus, traffic counts were collected at OR 22/College Drive because this intersection may be a part of solutions for the study area.

The traffic operations summary worksheets and figures for the study intersections are provided in Attachment B.

Intersection	Direction	V/C Ratio	Adequate?
	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.01	Yes
OR 22 /	OR 22 WB Left-turn	0.06	Yes
Greenwood Road	NB Approach	0.08	Yes
	SB Approach	0.19	Yes
OR 22 / Rickreal Road	NB Right-turn	0.04	Yes
OR 22 /	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.01	Yes
Old Knoll GC DW	SB Approach	0.36	Yes
OR 22 /	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.02	Yes
Oak Grove Road	SB Approach	0.28	Yes
OR 22 /	OR 22 WB Left-turn	0.03	Yes
S. Oak Grove Road	NB Approach	0.12	Yes
	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.05	Yes
	OR 22 WB Left-turn	1.01	No
OR 22 / OR 51	NB Right-turn	0.72	Yes
	NB Through/Left-turn	>2.0	No
	SB Approach	>2.0	No
OR 22 /	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.01	Yes
52 nd Ave	SB Approach	0.46	Yes
	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.02	Yes
OR 22 /	OR 22 WB Left-turn	0.01	Yes
50 th Ave	NB Approach	0.01	Yes
	SB Approach	1.06	No
OR 22 /	OR 22 WB Left-turn	0.04	Yes
Eola Bend RV Park	NB Approach	0.47	Yes
OR 22 /	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.02	Yes
Mill Street	SB Approach	0.10	Yes
	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.01	Yes
OR 22 /	OR 22 WB Left-turn	0.01	Yes
Shaw Street	NB Approach	0.08	Yes
	SB Approach	0.04	Yes
OR 22 /	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.80	Yes
Doaks Ferry Road	SB Approach	>2.0	No

Table 1. Year 2007 Existing Traffic Conditions, 30th Hour Traffic Volumes

As shown in Table 1, all intersections currently operate within acceptable volume-tocapacity ratios with the exception of the OR 22/OR 51, OR 22/50th Avenue, and OR 22/Doaks Ferry Road intersections. At the OR 22/51 intersection, the westbound left-turn, northbound through/left-turn, and shared southbound approach all operate above capacity. At the OR 22/50th Avenue and OR 22/Doaks Ferry Road intersections, the southbound approaches operate above capacity as well. The failing operations at these minor-street movements can be attributed to the heavy traffic demand along the OR 22.

The intersections of OR 22/50TH Avenue and OR 22/Doaks Ferry Road operated within the acceptable mobility standard in the 2001 OR 22 Expressway Refinement Plan.

Mainline Capacity Analysis

Analyses of the mainline volume-to-capacity ratio along three critical segments of OR 22 are provided in Table 2 below. These ratios were calculated using the HCM (Highway Capacity Manual) 2000 Multilane Highways Methodology.

Segment	Direction	V/C*	Adequate?
Greenwood Road to	Eastbound	0.32	Yes
OR 51	Westbound	0.43	Yes
OR 51 to	Eastbound	0.38	Yes
50 th Avenue	Westbound	0.56	Yes
50 th Avenue to	Eastbound	0.40	Yes
Doaks Ferry Road	Westbound	0.57	Yes

Table 2. OR 22 Mainline Existing 30th Hour V/C Ratios

* Assumes a free flow speed of 55 mph and a maximum service flow rate of 2,100 pc/h/ln.

As shown in Table 2, the calculated volume-to-capacity ratios for the three critical segments of OR 22 meet the 0.70 performance standard. It should be noted that the segment of OR 22 east of OR 51 experiences higher traffic volumes in the westbound direction resulting in a volume-to-capacity ratio that is proportionally higher than the remainder of the study corridor. This can be attributed to the influence of OR 51. West of OR 51, traffic volumes drop to a level that results in a significantly lower mainline volume-to-capacity ratio. The mainline traffic operations summary worksheets for the three corridor segments are also provided in Attachment B.

Safety Analysis

This safety analysis provides an assessment of vehicular crash history for OR 22 and key intersections along the study area. The study area was divided into three segments to facilitate the crash analysis as shown below.

- 1. OR 22 from Derry Overcrossing (MP 16.94) to State Farm Road (MP 21.19)
- 2. OR 22 from State Farm Road (MP 21.19) to Doaks Ferry Road (MP 22.04)
- 3. OR 51: MP 0.00 to MP 0.25 (beginning at OR 22 and continuing south towards Independence).

Crash data for the most recent 5 years (years 2002 through 2006) available at the time of this analysis were provided by ODOT Crash Analysis Unit. This data was analyzed to calculate APPENDIX D-EXISTING AND FUTURE YEAR 2030 NO-BUILD CONDITION 041708 FINAL

crash rates and identify existing deficiencies and needed improvements to reduce crash rates within the study area.

The following sections summarize the severity and type of crashes for the three segments listed above.

Severity and Type of Crashes for Segment 1

The severity and type of crashes for Segment 1 [OR 22 from Derry Overcrossing (MP 16.94) to State Farm Road (MP 21.19)] are summarized in Table 3. The land use of abutting properties within this segment is mostly farm land.

Severity of Crash		Total	Type of Crash						
Year	Fatality	Injury	Property Damage	Crashes	Angle / Turning	Head- On	Rear- End	Fixed Object	Other
2002	0	13	7	20	6	0	2	8	4
2003	1	10	5	16	9	0	6	1	1
2004	0	8	5	13	4	0	5	3	1
2005	0	8	8	16	3	2	7	1	3
2006	0	7	8	15	2	1	7	1	4
Total	1	46	33	80	24	3	27	14	13

Table 3. Historical Crash Data 2002–2006 for OR 22 MP 16.94 to MP 21.19

Source: ODOT, 2007

Crash reports for the years 2001 through 2005 show a total of 80 crashes on this segment. There were 1 fatal crash (1 percent), 46 injury crashes (58 percent), and 33 property damage only crashes (41 percent).

The most common types of crashes on OR 22 within this segment were angle/turning crashes (30 percent), and rear-end crashes (34 percent). These types of crashes are typical on segments of roadway with high-volume intersections, such as the intersection of OR 22 and OR 51. The majority of crashes on this segment occurred during day light on a dry surface.

The highest concentration (approximately 50 percent) of the turning movement crashes and rear-end crashes within this segment occurred within 500 feet of MP 20.4. This location is in the general vicinity of the intersection of OR 22 and OR 51.

Severity and Type of Crashes for Segment 2

The severity and type of crashes for Segment 2 (OR 22 from State Farm Road to the end of the study area) are summarized in Table 4. This segment is inside the SKATS urban study area.

	Sev	erity of C	rash	ash Type of Crash					
Year	Fatality	Injury	Property Damage	Crashes	Angle / Turning	Head- On	Rear- End	Fixed Object	Other
2002	0	8	3	11	8	1	2	0	0
2003	0	4	4	8	6	1	1	0	0
2004	0	5	3	8	3	0	1	4	0
2005	0	1	2	3	2	0	1	0	0
2006	0	1	4	5	1	0	3	1	0
Total	0	19	16	35	20	2	8	5	0

Table 4. Historical Crash Data 2002–2006 for OR 22 MP 21.19 to MP 22.04

Source: ODOT, 2007

Crash reports for the years 2002 through 2006 show a total of 35 crashes on this segment. There were 0 fatal crashes (0 percent), 19 injury crashes (54 percent), and 16 property damage only crashes (46 percent).

The most common types of crashes on OR 22 within this segment were angle/turning crashes (57 percent), and rear-end crashes (23 percent). The majority of crashes occurred during the day on a dry surface.

The highest concentration of the turning movement crashes (approximately 90 percent) and the majority of rear-end crashes within this segment occurred within 500 feet of MP 22.0. This location is in the general vicinity of the intersection of OR 22 and Doaks Ferry Road.

Severity and Type of Crashes for Segment 3

The safety analysis of OR 51 has one segment, beginning at OR 22 and continuing south towards Independence (OR 51, MP 0.00 to MP 0.25).

For the 5-year period, a total of 3 crashes were reported along OR 51 between MP 0.00 and MP 0.25. There was 1 injury crash and 2 crashes resulting in property damage only. Table 5 summarizes the crash history for OR 51 between MP 0.00 and MP 0.25 during the 5-year period.

	Sev	erity of C	rash	Total	Type of Crash		
Year	Fatality	Injury	Property Damage	Crashes	Fixed Object	Other	
2002	0	1	1	2	2	0	
2003	0	0	1	1	0	1	
2004	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2005	0	0	0	0	0	0	
2006	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	0	1	2	3	2	1	

Table 5. Historical Crash Data 2002–2006 for OR 51 MP 0.00 to MP 0.25

Source: ODOT, 2007

The most common types of crashes on OR 51 within the study area were fixed-object crashes (67 percent). Two crashes occurred in dry conditions during the day the third crash occurred in icy conditions at night.

Crash Rate Summary

The 5-year average crash rates for segments 1 and 2 were calculated and found to be equal to 0.36 and 0.65 crashes per million vehicle miles respectively. These crash rates are below the statewide average crash rate for other comparable freeways/expressways. See Attachment C for statewide average crash rate and OR 22 crash rate calculations data.

Safety Priority Index System (SPIS)

In addition to crash rates, ODOT also assesses roadway safety via the Safety Priority Index System (SPIS). The SPIS is used to calculate a relative score that takes into account crash frequency, crash rate, and crash severity. SPIS scores are computed for tenth (0.1) of a mile segments. SPIS scores can be compared to determine where safety improvement funds might best be spent. Typically, ODOT places the highest priority locations where SPIS scores fall within the top 10 percent in the entire state.

A roadway segment becomes a SPIS site if a location has three or more crashes; or one or more fatal crashes over a 3-year period.

There are two SPIS locations along OR 22 within the study area. These locations are shown in the top ten percent SPIS locations within the study area. The crash statistics and SPIS scores that are shown in Table 6 are based on crash data for the years 2003 through 2005.

Highway	Beg. MP	End MP	Length	AADT	Total Crashes	Fatal	А*	В*	C*	PDO	% Rank	SPIS Score
OR 22	20.30	20.42	0.12	29,200	14	0	1	1	5	14	90	45.69

Table 6. Top	0 10 Percent	SPIS Locations	within the	Study Area	(2007)
--------------	--------------	----------------	------------	------------	--------

Source: ODOT, 2007 (2004-2006 data)

*Severity of Crashes: A = severe injury; B = moderate injury; C = minor injury

Access Conditions

The study area is designated as an expressway. The purpose of the expressway classification is to maintain mobility by providing for safe and efficient high-speed and high-volume traffic movements. Its primary function is to provide for interurban travel and connections to ports and major recreational areas with minimal interruptions. The existence of multiple access points into the study area represents interruptions or conflict points that hinder the roadway from functioning in the manner intended by the expressway designation. Conflict points are locations along a roadway at which a high user crossing, merging with, or diverging from a road or driveway conflicts with other motorist use the same road or driveway. Drivers make more mistakes and are more likely to have crashes when they are presented with complex driving situations created by numerous conflict points.

One of the most effective strategies for promoting increased safety and improved mobility is to manage access to the highway. Access management involves planning the location, design and operation of driveway, medians and intersections to provide access while, at the same time preserving safety and roadway efficiency. Access management involves:

- Restricting the number of direct access to major surface streets
- Providing reasonable indirect access
- Effectively designing driveways
- Enforcing safe and efficient spacing of driveways to limit the number and locations of conflict points

Currently there are approximately 56 private accesses and 14 public accesses to OR 22 within the study area. None of the access locations meet the applicable OAR access spacing standard for this highway, as shown in Table 7.

Posted Speed (5)	Rural Expressway ** (Feet) *	Rural (Feet)*	Urban Expressway ** *** (Feet)*	Urban *** (Feet)*	STA (Feet)*
≥55	5280	1320	2640		1320
50	5280	1100	2640	1100	
40 & 45	5280	990	2640 990		990
30 & 35	770	720		(6)	
≤25	550	520		(6)	

 Table 7. Access Management Spacing Standards for Private and Public Approaches on

 Statewide Highways

Source: OAR 734-051-0115

NOTE: Refer to explanatory notes that follow Table 3 for the numbers in parenthesis; however, these notes are not relevant to the OR 22 study.

* Measurement of the approach road spacing is from center to center on the same side of the roadway.

** Spacing for Expressway at-grade intersections only. See the OHP for interchange spacing guidelines.

***These standards also apply to Commercial Centers.

Task 2B – Future Traffic Conditions

This memorandum documents the anticipated future 2030 No-Build traffic conditions for the OR 22 (W) Expressway Management Plan (EMP). Included in the memorandum are the travel forecasts and the results of the operational analyses of the future No-Build scenario for the corridor study area between Greenwood Road and Doaks Ferry Road.

Future Growth Forecasts

Future transportation demand estimates for the study area were based on a combination of forecasts from the Salem Keizer Area Transportation Study (SKATS) Transportation Planning Model, ODOT's Future Volume Tables, and a review of growth rates used in previous planning studies along the OR 22 corridor. The No-Build volumes were prepared assuming that no significant transportation improvements are made to the existing study corridor and study area intersections. The lane configurations at each of the study area intersections for the 2030 No-Build analysis are illustrated in Figure 3. This figure is provided in Attachment D.

Travel Forecasts

To forecast 2030 future traffic volumes along the OR 22 study corridor and study area intersections, base year (2005) and future year (2030) model runs were obtained from the SKATS model as an initial starting point. It should be noted that a large portion of the study corridor is on the edge of the SKATS modeling network. As such, not all of the intersecting corridor roadways are included in the model. For those roadways that are included, annual growth rates were calculated using the base year and future year model outputs. These annual growth rates are summarized in Table 8.

	Direction of Travel					
Roadway Segment	Eastbound / Northbound	Westbound / Southbound				
Oak Grove Road (north of OR 22)	13%	4.8%				
OR 51 (south of OR 22)	1.1%	1.1%				
OR 22 (west of Oak Grove Road)	3.9%	3.9%				
OR 22 (east of Oak Grove Road)	3.9%	3.9%				
Doaks Ferry Road (north of OR 22)	9.7%	5.9%				
OR 22 (west of Doaks Ferry Road)	3.4%	3.5%				

Table 8. SKATS Model Annual Growth Rate Calculations

As shown in Table 8, annual growth rates along the OR 22 corridor are projected to range from approximately 3.5 percent at the east end of the study corridor to 3.9 percent at the west end of the study corridor. Growth along OR 51 is projected to occur at approximately 1.1 percent per year. Growth along Doaks Ferry Road is projected to be relatively high due to a significant amount of new development expected in the West Salem area. Growth along Oak Grove Road is also projected to be high; however it should be noted that base and future year traffic volumes in the model are still relatively low, which cause the growth rates appear to be more significant than they really are.

In addition to the SKATS model output, ODOT's Future Volume Tables were reviewed. These tables contain ADT values for all state highways and can be used to develop historic growth trends. Based on a review of these tables, annual growth rates of 3.1 percent to 3.6 percent were calculated at different points along the OR 22 study corridor. For OR 51, an annual growth rate of 1.4 percent was calculated along that section of highway just south of OR 22.

Comparing the SKATS model growth rates to the ODOT Future Volume Tables, the two sets of growth rates are relatively similar. As such, a combination of growth rates from the two sources were utilized for the purposes of developing 2030 No-Build traffic volumes along the OR 22 study corridor. Table 9 outlines the resulting 2030 No-Build annual growth rates used for different segments of the study corridor.

|--|

	Direction of Travel						
Roadway Segment	Eastbound / Northbound	Westbound / Southbound					
Oak Grove Road (north of OR 22)	13%	4.8%					
OR 51 (south of OR 22)	1.4%	1.4%					
OR 22 (west of OR 51)	3.2%	3.2%					
OR 22 (east of OR 51)	3.6%	3.6%					
Doaks Ferry Road (north of OR 22)	9.7%	5.9%					
All other intersecting roadways	2.5%*	2.5%*					

* With the exception of the previously noted roadways, the intersecting side streets are not included in the SKATS model. As such, an annual growth rate of 2.5% was applied to be consistent with previous planning efforts.

Because the application of growth rate estimates to turning movement counts can sometimes underestimate/overestimate future traffic volumes, traffic volume forecasts for some intersection turning movements were derived using the procedures outlined in National Cooperative Highway Research Program (NCHRP) Report 2-55. This procedure accounts for a combination of existing turning movement counts, and base and future year model forecasts as outlined below.

- Measured turning movement volumes and patterns are used as a starting point.
- The percentage change in the model's base and future year traffic volume for each movement is calculated.
- The numerical change (delta) in the model's traffic volumes is calculated.
- The results obtained from the percentage and numerical change calculations are averaged to obtain the 2025 analysis traffic volume.

As previously stated, the OR 22 (W) EMP study corridor essentially lies on the edge of the SKATS modeling network. As such, only the regionally significant OR 51 and Doaks Ferry Road segments are included in the model along with OR 22. The above outlined process was applied to the OR 22/OR 51 and OR 22/Doaks Ferry Road intersections. The balanced results of this procedure coupled with the application of the segment growth rate estimates outlined in Table 9 are illstrated in Figure 4. This figure is provided in Attachment D.

Year 2030 No-Build Traffic Operations Analyses

An operational analysis was conducted for the OR 22 study corridor to evaluate the future 2030 No-Build 30th Hour traffic conditions. This analysis was performed using Synchro to analyze the operations at the individual intersections. The OR 22 mainline volume-to-capacity ratios, unsignalized study intersections were analyzed using procedures described in the 2000 Highway Capacity Manual (HCM).

Performance Measures

The Oregon Highway Plan (1999) (OHP) outlines specific performance measures to be maintained along ODOT facilities as part of their Highway Mobility Standards. These standards are aimed at maintaining mobility along important road corridors and vary according to functional classification, location, and role within the National Highway System (NHS).

The following intersection performance measures are applicable for facilities within this study:

- Volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.70 for movements along OR 22 given its classification as a Statewide, NHS Expressway.
- Volume-to-capacity ratio of 0.80 for all movements along OR 22 that must stop or yield the right-of-way.

Unsignalized Intersection Analysis

All of the intersections along the OR 22 study corridor are assumed to remain unsignalized in the year 2030. For unsignalized intersections, the operations assessment is typically based on the intersection's ability to accommodate the worst or critical movement. This is typically the minor-street stop-controlled movement. Table 10 provides a summary of all stopcontrolled or yield controlled intersection movements in order to determine how all of the critical intersection movements are operating during the existing 30th hour conditions.

		V/C Ratio							
Intersection	Direction	Existing 2007 Traffic Conditions	Future 2030 No-Build Traffic Conditions						
	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.01	0.06						
OR 22 /	OR 22 WB Left-turn	0.06	0.33						
Greenwood Road	NB Approach	0.08	>2.0						
	SB Approach	0.19	>2.0						
OR 22 / Rickreal Road	NB Right-turn	0.04	0.15						
OR 22 /	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.01	0.09						
Old Knoll GC DW	SB Approach	0.36	0.41						
OR 22 /	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.02	0.12						
Oak Grove Road	SB Approach	0.28	>2.0						
OR 22 /	OR 22 WB Left-turn	0.03	0.15						
S. Oak Grove Road	NB Approach	0.12	>2.0						
	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.05	0.36						
	OR 22 WB Left-turn	1.01	>2.0						
OR 22 / OR 51	NB Right-turn	0.72	>2.0						
	NB Through/Left-turn	>2.0	>2.0						
	SB Approach	>2.0	>2.0						
OR 22 /	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.01	0.01						
52 nd Ave	SB Approach	0.46	0.85						
	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.02	0.23						
OR 22 /	OR 22 WB Left-turn	0.01	0.05						
50 th Ave	NB Approach	0.01	0.04						
	SB Approach	1.06	>2.0						
OR 22 /	OR 22 WB Left-turn	0.04	0.28						
Eola Bend RV Park	NB Approach	0.47	>2.0						
OR 22 /	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.02	0.60						
Mill Street	SB Approach	0.10	>2.0						
	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.01	0.01						
OR 22 /	OR 22 WB Left-turn	0.01	0.10						
Shaw Street	NB Approach	0.08	0.71						
	SB Approach	0.04	1.36						
OR 22 /	OR 22 EB Left-turn	0.80	>2.0						
Doaks Ferry Road	SB Approach	>2.0	>2.0						

Table 10. Unsignalized Intersection Analysis Results

Note: Shaded cells represent that the movement is forecast to exceed ODOT's 0.80 performance standard.

The traffic operations summary worksheets for the study intersections are provided in Attachment D.

As shown in Table 10, a projected increase in traffic volumes along the OR 22 corridor will result in a significant number of critical minor street approaches operating well above capacity. In addition, major street left-turns at the more regionally significant OR 22/OR 51 and OR 22/Doaks Ferry Road intersection are also projected to operate above capacity by the year 2030. These operational results are relatively consistent with previous long-term forecasts for the OR 22 study corridor and suggest that intersection improvements and access management techniques will need to be addressed.

Mainline Capacity Analysis

Year 2030 analyses of the mainline volume-to-capacity ratios along three critical segments of OR 22 are provided in Table 11. These ratios were calculated using the HCM (Highway Capacity Manual) 2000 Multilane Highways Methodology.

		V/C*							
Segment	Direction	Existing 2007 Conditions	Future 2030 No-Build Conditions						
Greenwood Road to	Eastbound	0.32	0.64						
OR 51	Westbound	0.43	0.78						
OR 51 to	Eastbound	0.38	0.74						
50 ^m Avenue	Westbound	0.56	0.99						
50 th Avenue to	Eastbound	0.40	0.76						
Doaks Ferry Road	Westbound	0.57	1.00						

Table 11. OR 22 Mainline 2030 Future No-Build 30th Hour V/C Ratios

Note: Shaded cells indicate that the highway segment is forecast to exceed to the 0.70 performance standard.

 \ast Assumes a free flow speed of 55 mph and a maximum service flow rate of 2,100 pc/h/ln.

As shown in Table 11, the calculated volume-to-capacity ratios for the three critical segments of OR 22 are projected to operate near or slightly above the 0.70 performance standard in the eastbound direction. In the westbound direction, the segments located east of OR 51 are forecast to operate at or near the effective capacity of the highway. West of OR 51, traffic volumes drop to a level that results in a significantly lower volume-to-capacity ratio. However, the westbound direction is still forecast to operate just above the performance standard. These results indicate that mainline capacity improvements will need to be addressed for particular segments of the study corridor.

Attachment A Traffic Counts



















Attachment B HCM Existing Intersection Capacity

	-	\mathbf{i}	¥	-	-	1	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	朴		۲	<u>†</u> †	¥¥	10	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Volume (veh/h)	1288	1	13	1730	3	3	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85	
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	1356	1	14	1821	4	4	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)			to en some de la sed	-Serri (m. Staterau era	Terra I and the second	***	ער איז
Median type			制作的影响		None		
Median storage veh)				Ner machine and and			n na hUser an ann an an Anna an Anna an Anna an Anna an an a' Church ann an Anna an Anna an Anna an Anna an Ann
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked	n na series de la composition de la com	LAND COLOR	1057	Southern Date (Line	0004	070	
vC, conflicting volume			1357		2294	678	
VC1, stage 1 cont vol		SALEARAN SALE					
VC2, stage 2 cont vol			1957		2204	670	
VCU, UNDIOCKEO VOI		a carrier a c	1357		2294	6/8	
tC, Single (S)			4.1		0.0	0.9	
10, 2 stage (s)	una antina a	SUMMER ST	22		35	2.2	
r (S)	NEDEDRA		2.2		80	0.0	
cM canacity (veh/h)		TRA AND	513		33	399	
		EP o	14/0 4	14/0.0	14/2 0	000	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	VVB 2	VVB 3		
Volume I otal	904	453	14	911	911	1	
Volume Left	U	U	14	0	U	4	
	1700	1700	540	1700	1700	4	
COFI Volume to Conceitu	0.52	0.07	0.02	0.54	0.54	0 12	
Oucle Longth (ft)	0.55	0.27	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.12	
Control Dolov (c)	0	00	12.2	00	00	720	
Long LOS	0.0	0.0	12.2 R	0.0	0.0	12.0 E	
Approach Delay (s)	0.0		01	ALENSIN THEM		72.0	
Approach LOS	0.0		0.1			72.0 F	
		nine para secondar					
Intersection Summary		14-11-11-1					
Average Delay			0.2	un ber tintur an - 2			10 - 201910 y - executive to the strength of the
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		63.1%	LI MARIE	CU Leve	el of Ser	vice B

	۶		$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	¥	-	*	*	Ť	1	1	Ļ	\checkmark
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	 ↑p		ሻ	<u>†</u> ‡			ا ب	7		\$	
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Volume (veh/h)	15	1275	1	492	1719	17	1	0	275	1	0	13
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	16	1342	1	518	1809	18	1	0	289	1	0	14
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Blockage		运动 和1044										
Right turn flare (veh)			ne mechica course					and a to backdoor so the	PRO-MANAGEMENT			
Median type								None			None	
Median storage veh)	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		011-77-01-07-01-201			1111+12-111+ba 2010-11-1		1157771154717551713474	1919-1940-1949-194 9 -1949-	un control process		
Upstream signal (ft)							Ng e all					
pX, platoon unblocked	1007			10.10	ti standovana s			1007			1000	101101 - Corr
vC, conflicting volume	1827			1343			3328	4237	672	3557	4229	914
vC1, stage 1 conf vol			Contract States					miniananon				0.330/00/00000
vC2, stage 2 conf vol	4007	Non Anka		10.10			0000	4007	070		4000	
VCU, UNDIOCKED VOI	1827			1343	02000-000		3328	4237	672	3557	4229	914
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.1			1.5	6.5	6.9	1.5	6.5	6.9
tC, Z stage (s)				2.2	STATES THE	200 000000000	25	40		0.5	10	0.0
	2.2			2.2	아이는 사람의 전 기관	R. S.	3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
pu queue nee %	330			514			0	0	402	0	0	200
	339			014			U	U	403	U	U	280
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1			
Volume Total	16	895	448	518	1206	621	1	289	15			
Volume Left	16	0	0	518	0	0	1	0	1			11111-1111-1111-1111-1111-111-111-111-
Volume Right	0	0	1	0	0	18	0	289	14			
CSH	339	1700	1700	514	1/00	1700	0	403	0		FIGHT NUTLE NO LAND	14-18-2010-0-0-2
Volume to Capacity	0.05	0.53	0.26	1.01	0.71	0.37	Err	0.72	Err			特别的思想。
Queue Length (π)	4	0	0	354	0	0	Err	138	Err		MARINE TAN	and summer
Control Delay (s)	16.1	0.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	Err	33.6	Err		這些時間的	
Lane LUS	00	COORD MILLER		1	30/5309/000800	THE PARTY AND	r In Fill	D	F F			11/12/2010/2010
Approach Delay (s)	0.2	使可以引起的	HERE AND	15.5			EII		Err	胡福西部的	通知形态同	以中国的时间
Approach LUS							F		r			
Intersection Summary										A IN A D		
Average Delay			Err	concessor.	0.11				autorente com			and the second second
Intersection Capacity Ut	lization		82.8%	1445-1413-1	CU Lev	el of Sel	VICE		D			

	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	¥		1	1	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations Sign Control	† ∱ Free		۴	Free	Stop		
Volume (voh/h)	1569	6	14	2222	0%	22	
Peak Hour Factor	0.02	0	0.02	0.02	0 02	0.02	
Hourly flow rate (veh/h) Pedestrians Lane Width (ft) Walking Speed (ft/s)	1704	7	15	2416	4	24	
Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh)					Nena		
Median type Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft)					None		
vC, conflicting volume vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol			1711		2946	855	
vCu, unblocked vol	APOINTAL ADDINE		1711	oranga di sagarah	2946	855	
tC, single (s) tC, 2 stage (s)			4.1		6.8	6.9	
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %		and the second state of the first state	96		61	92	
cM capacity (veh/h)			367		11	301	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	NB 1	
Volume Total	1136	575	15	1208	1208	28	
Volume Left	0	0	15	0	0	4	
Volume Right	0	7	0	0	0	24	
cSH	1700	1700	367	1700	1700	60	
Volume to Capacity	0.67	0.34	0.04	0.71	0.71	0.47	
Queue Length (ft)	0	0	3	0	0	46	
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	15.2	0.0	0.0	110.1	
Lane LOS			С			F	
Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS	0.0		0.1			110.1 F	
Intersection Summary		1					
Average Delay			0.8				
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		80.5%	J	CU Lev	el of Servi	ice D

	≯	-	-	٠.	- \	4	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations Sign Control	۲	free	† ‡ Free		Stop		
Grade	100	1427	0%	05	0%	00	
Volume (venm) Reak Hour Factor	0.05	1437	2100	0.05	0.85	00	
Hourly flow rate (veh/h) Pedestrians Lane Width (ft)	168	1513	2301	37	4	78	
Walking Speed (ft/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh)					Nene		
Median type Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft) pX. platoon unblocked					None		
vC, conflicting volume vC1, stage 1 conf vol vC2, stage 2 conf vol	2338	ensin George			3413	1169	
vCu, unblocked vol	2338	urranitation de la faite se			3413	1169	
tC, single (s) tC, 2 stage (s)	4.1				6.8	6.9	
tF (s)	2.2		(jar-t)		3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %	20			teritor and the second	0	59	
cM capacity (veh/h)	211				1	189	
Direction), Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB 2	SB 1	
Volume Total	168	756	756	1534	804	81	
Volume Left	168	0	0	0	0	4	
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	37	78	
cSH	211	1700	1700	1700	1700	23	
Volume to Capacity	0.80	0.44	0.44	0.90	0.47	3.51	
Queue Length (ft)	143	0	0	0	0	Err	
Control Delay (s)	66.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Err	
Lane LOS	F	data (144 crossie con		Name and Address of Street of S		F	การ รายภาษาที่ 16 อาการรับสารศักรรรษณ์ เหตุ เป็นชาติมีสาราช เป็นสาราช การรับสาราช เป็นสาราช
Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS	6.7			0.0		Err F	
Intersection Summary					***	àv.	
Average Delay			200.7				
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		93.5%		CU Leve	el of Serv	ice E

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 10: OR 22 & Greenwood

	۶		\mathbf{i}	¥	-	*	1	1	1	1	Ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	≜ †₽		ሻ	₫ ∱			4			44	
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Volume (veh/h)	3	1191	4	29	1682	4	1	0	11	1	1	1
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (veh/h) Pedestrians Lane Width (ft)	3	1254	4	31	1771	4	1	0	13	1	1	1
Walking Speed (ft/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type Median storage veh) Upstream signal (ft)								None			None	
pX, platoon unblocked	1775	iner inner italiset		1050	Manager State	213000 Avenue	2210	2000	600	2400	2000	007
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	1775	HARAGARAN BANADANGKA		1200		nan Albuda Bulara Dana	2210	2090	029	2400	30,90	007
vCu, unblocked vol	1775	littlegi en içey		1258	CARACTER DECIDE		2210	3098	629	2480	3098	887
tC single (s)	41		500128V28	42			75	65	69	75	6.5	69
tC 2 stage (s)				1.4	shoutsionen	12100000000000	,	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	33
p0 queue free %	99	New Classes		94	Talif Toleraujed	ARREST AND AND A	95	100	97	92	89	100
cM capacity (veh/h)	355			538			22	11	430	14	11	291
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	NB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	3	836	422	31	1180	594	14	4				
Volume Left	3	0	0	31	0	0	1	1				
Volume Right	0	0	4	0	0	4	13	1				
cSH	355	1700	1700	538	1700	1700	168	18				
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.49	0.25	0.06	0.69	0.35	0.08	0.19				
Queue Length (ft)	1	0	0	4	0	0	7	14				
Control Delay (s)	15.2	0.0	0.0	12.1	0.0	0.0	28.4	242.3				
Lane LOS	С			В			D	F				
Approach Delay (s)	0.0			0.2			28.4	242.3				
Approach LOS							D	F				
Intersection Summary	st. PetarainetSets											
Average Delay			0.5			511.6. 					A North Contract of the Contra	
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		61.8%	[CU Lev	el of Sei	vice		В			

		\mathbb{P}	*	-	٦.	/*									
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NEL	NER			a la la						
Lane Configurations	۴ ۵			**		1									
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop										
Grade	0%			0%	0%							an an anna dhannan na mar na mar an an an an	Alexandra de la construction de la		
Volume (veh/h)	1263	0	0	1715	0	13									
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85							ana ana ang ang ang ang ang ang ang ang	ante-real-de la contra la contra al la contra de la contra	an a
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	1329	0	0	1805	0	15									
Pedestrians															
Lane Width (ft)														的社会的社会的社会的关键 。	
Walking Speed (ft/s)															
Percent Blockage												建设的制度 在1993年			的现在分词 在1996年1996年1996年1996年1996年1996年1996年1996
Right turn flare (veh)				the western successful a											
Median type					Raised			張							
Median storage veh)				-	1	CREEK CHEVITY & SHEE	NUMBER OF STREET				NEW TEP COMPANIES OF THE				
Upstream signal (ft)															
pX, platoon unblocked			1000	NUMBER OF STREET	0000	COF	TORESCHURING.	us:		a estadora	REDAKTOR			ALCONTRACTOR STATISTICS AND ALCONTRACTOR	
vC, conflicting volume			1329		1220	600									
vC1, stage 1 cont vol		a paint de las	GUREER ON		003				1	HESTER	nesidanil.ocoh	Nestra Manda Acessia	HESIGARI CRIMINALISI MANANI MA	Resident autor construction autority	The state and sources a long to a support that an
vCu, unblocked vol			1320		2232	665		加出			我们我们的 这话:2				
tC single (s)			1020		6.8	6.9	NO.THERMORY	864		1					
tC, 2 stane (s)			π.ι		5.8	0.0	CERESCION OF	1210							
tF (s)			22	States and the	3.5	3.3		143							
p0 queue free %		Rections	100		100	96	THE PERSON NAMES	11.11.17	21	201013-016		anal to be the first states of the			
cM capacity (veh/h)			526		139	407									
Direction Lane #	ER 1	EB 2	MR 1	WB 2	NE 1			37ER		AND NOT					
Volume Total	886	443	903	903	15										
Volume Left	000	0	000	0	0		206 ANG ARAM			11112112		THE STREET WAS ADDREED			
Volume Right	ŏ	Ő	0	0	15										
cSH	1700	1700	1700	1700	407			0002							
Volume to Capacity	0.52	0.26	0.53	0.53	0.04										
Queue Length (ft)	0	0	0	0	3	and the state of the state	and felling artified				and the first of the first parameter	and be to star a star plant plat. Have a story	and the first of the party party of the second s	and the first of the interior of the second state in the second second second second second second second second	and the first of the product of the second
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.2										
Lane LOS	and an an an an arrival for the second s		na ann an Anna an Anna a' Anna		В							an and in the contract of the	an an ann an an an ann an ann an ann an	an an ann an Anna an An	
Approach Delay (s)	0.0		0.0		14.2										
Approach LOS					В								a han Can agente a bank to all the second and a second	A DECEMBER DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE	200.2012/2012/2014/2014/14/14/2012/14-944-944-944-944-944-944-944-944-944-9
Intersection Summary	No the instants.				and a second second second		ani part di	<u>23</u>	138						
Average Delay			0.1												
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		56.0%	10	CU Leve	el of Serv	lice	PIE				А	Α	Α	Α

۶

4/27/2007	
-----------	--

	۶	-	-	*	1	-	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	<u>^</u>	≜ †⊅		M		
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Volume (veh/h)	4	1272	1710	5	2	5	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85	
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	4	1339	1800	5	2	6	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)		100 - 100 - 1.0 M - 20 M					
Median type				1	WLTL		
Median storage veh)					1		
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	1805				2481	903	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	1.44 (T. 41) 1.1 - 1 11 - 11		Service Control and		1803		
vC2, stage 2 conf vol					678		
vCu, unblocked vol	1805			5. F 151 21 PA 162P	2481	903	
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.8	6.9	
tC, 2 stage (s)		INCREASES	The second second		5.8	and the second rule	
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %	99		IN SHE CARDON	Real Providence	97	98	A MEN AND A
cM capacity (veh/h)	346				94	284	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB 2	SB 1	
Volume Total	4	669	669	1200	605	8	
Volume Left	4	0	0	0	0	2	
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	5	6	
cSH	346	1700	1700	1700	1700	180	
Volume to Capacity	0.01	0.39	0.39	0.71	0.36	0.05	
Queue Length (ft)	1	0	0	0	0	4	
Control Delay (s)	15.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.0	
Lane LOS	C					D	
Approach Delay (s)	0.0			0.0		26.0	
Approach LOS						D	
Intersection Summary					ta .		
Average Delay			0.1				
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		62.7%	1	CU Leve	el of Ser	vice B

+

۶

Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	<u>†</u> †	≜ 1		Ý		
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		同時的時間, 與自己的時間,自己的自己的時間,與自己的
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Volume (veh/h)	5	1269	1711	22	20	4	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85	
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	5	1336	1801	23	24	5	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)		P.P. D. Comp Comm		Sector Charles	and the second		
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)				The Party Charles			
Median type					WLTL		
Median storage veh)	Hathermannet.			17-statisti davla	1	11 (ARX 20) (20) 47	
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked	4004	2011 (MIN) 2010			0404	040	
vC, conflicting volume	1824			Shangen 244	2491	912	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol			NATAL POLICE	104 APRIL 10	679	1000000000	
VCZ, stage z com vol	1904			Respective.	2401	012	
tC single (s)	1024	Thursdan to be	ANE VIPERS		60	912	
tC, single (s)	4.1				5.0	0.9	
tE (e)	22				35	22	
n0 queue free %	98				73	98	
cM capacity (veh/h)	340		n de la composition d		88	280	
Sin supering (rening						200	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB2	SB 1	
Volume Total	5	668	668	1201	624	28	
Volume Left	5	0	0	0	0	24	
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	23	5	
cSH	340	1700	1700	1700	1700	99	
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.39	0.39	0.71	0.37	0.28	
Queue Length (ft)	1	0	0	0	0	21	
Control Delay (s)	15.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.1	
Lane LOS	0.1	CRITECTICAL COLOR		0.0	etra seguencia	F	
Approach LOS	0.1		把你的外班	0.0		55.1 E	
Approach LOG						r*	

×,

4

\$

Intersection Summary	and makes an an a state of						
Average Delay	0.5						
Intersection Capacity Utilization	63.3%	ICU Level of Service	В				
	۶		+	*.	×	4	
--------------------------	-----------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------	-----------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	۲	<u>†</u> †	† ‡		¥4		
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Volume (veh/h)	0	1551	2224	3	2	4	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85	
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	0	1633	2341	3	2	5	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type					WLTL		
Median storage veh)			AL-18-A2-003-09		1		
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	2344				3159	1172	
vC1, stage 1 cont vol					2343		a first first black base a generalization at the second first being second second to be a second second second
vC2, stage 2 cont vol					816	1170	
vCu, unblocked vol	2344	In the second			3159	11/2	
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.8	6.9	
tC, 2 stage (s)					5.8		The part of the second
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %	100				95	98	Manufi Mandalawa kutan Angan manga Manganan Anganan Salah sa kutan kutan kutan kata sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa
cM capacity (ven/n)	213				49	188	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB1	WB2	SB 1	
Volume Total	0	816	816	1561	784	7	
Volume Left	0	0	0	0	0	2	
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	3	5	
cSH	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	97	
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.48	0.48	0.92	0.46	0.07	
Queue Length (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	6	
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.0	
Lane LOS		Station of Stations &		nounity war		E	
Approach Delay (s)	0.0			0.0		45.0	
Approach LOS						E	
Intersection Summary	4	n dreation	**** *****	e de la composición d	v'tar - 1		an trans
Average Delay			0.1			the little and a little and	24-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		78.4%		CU Leve	el of Servic	c C

	٠		$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	€	-	*	-	Ť	1	>	¥	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	ተተ			≜ †⊅			4 7+			4	
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Volume (veh/h)	5	1548	0	0	2211	26	0	0	0	26	0	16
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	5	1629	0	0	2327	27	0	0	0	31	0	19
Pedestrians							1000 PT02 11 17 10 10 10 10					
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)				100.40404-00000								
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)	Department of the party	1.10 ×23 10 × 23 10 ×		NUTCING TO MANA	tool manager	in the second	ka manani nanoro	un cumunicati	and and an excerning	THE COLUMN TWO IS NOT		1100-00-0000
Median type			建設設備			的问题		None			WLTL	
Median storage veh)					in the state of the local	omerkana vertans	TRADUCT	041030305703571007			1	
Upstream signal (ft)												
pX, platoon unblocked	0055			4000			0000	0005	045	0400	0004	
vC, conflicting volume	2355			1629			2823	3995	815	3166	3981	11//
vC1, stage 1 cont vol						CHICKNAME COM		an Southartha	an autoritier in	2341	2341	ACCOLUMENCE
VC2, stage 2 com voi	2255			1000	16.在常时间	而我的法律问题	2022	2005	046	020	1040	4477
VCu, unbiocked voi	2300			1029			2023	3995	610	3100	3901	11//
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.1			1.0	0.0	0.9	7.0	0.0	0.9
	2.2		and the second	2.2			25	10	2.2	0.0	0.0	2.2
	2.2	和目的,但是因为		100		Selentiti Sin	100	4.0	100	3.0	4.0	0.0
cM canacity (yeb/h)	211	SC DATED D	and and a state of	305			7	100	321	32	100	187
	Z 11			000					J4 1	1989 DZ		107
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	SB 1	NC ID Sealers				
Volume Total	5	815	815	1552	803	0	49					
Volume Left	5	0	0	0	0	0	31	and the second second		and the second		and a second
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	2/	0	19		운영성을			
CSH	211	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	47	0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	00000000000	101010-0010-0010-0010-00		1-072/1712/01/01/01
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.48	0.48	0.91	0.47	0.00	1.06				A DATA SALE	
Queue Length (II)	2	0	0	0	0	0	112		to the original stars	ene avalantation	International Contractor	in the second
Control Delay (s)	22.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	288.3					
Lane LOS			an ar sea an a	0.0	e isonananan	A	- 000 C	THE AUTOM STORE	niumonaurs:	ne de la companya de	alehangena zit	
Approach Delay (s)	0.1	位相關認識		0.0		0.0	268.3					
Approach LOS						А	F					
Intersection Summary		1 . w	nas na tuda y s	A 9-					hidro A	then a stat		
Average Delay			3.6									
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		78.8%		CU Lev	el of Sei	rvice		С			

	٦	-	-	×.	1	4		
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR		
Lane Configurations	ሻ	<u>†</u> †	≜ †⊅		¥¥			
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop			
Grade		0%	0%		0%			
Volume (veh/h)	1	1589	2247	2	2	0		
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85		
Hourly flow rate (veh/h) Pedestrians Lane Width (ft)	1	1673	2365	2	2	0		lar sanan Senatara
Walking Speed (ft/s) Percent Blockage Right turn flare (veh)								
Median type					WLTI		an haraith an tealainn	
Median storage veh)	processing and the		107月1日前月1日前	CALCULATION .	1			Transformers 19.0000 Felbler
Upstream signal (ft)								
pX. platoon unblocked	anders and a store		an an Anna a' Station a' Station a' Station a' Station ann an Station a' S	124.1.10171744	ALIAN ALI	sidalami tu mitator oleanni tu i	alan ku hush ku ang kata kata pang ku	
vC. conflicting volume	2367				3205	1184		
vC1, stage 1 conf vol					2366			
vC2, stage 2 conf vol					838			
vCu, unblocked vol	2367	Contraction of the local distance		ourrenation and	3205	1184		AND SHOP STREET, STREET
tC. single (s)	6.1				7.8	6.9		
tC. 2 stage (s)	GCRIMCLELTHARD	01441110000010	anya monreent	an an an an Arthur an	6.8	erlet Romana met Gorch 1993 (GAG)	1997) ISBN 8799194557955797979797979797979499459597979999	ADD:0401200/FC4100204020402040204000
tF (s)	3.2				4.0	3.3		
p0 queue free %	98			Automation of the state	90	100		
cM capacity (veh/h)	49				25	185		
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB2	SB 1		
Volume Total	1	836	836	1577	791	2		
Volume Left	1	0	0	0	0	2		
Volume Right	Ó	0	0	0	2	0		
cSH	49	1700	1700	1700	1700	25		Failth in the state of the second state of the
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.49	0.49	0.93	0.47	0.10		
Queue Lenath (ft)	2	0	0	0	0	7	eron as derekter och att (ETMSKRETERISC)//HTT/O	warming and the constraints
Control Delay (s)	80.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	166.3		
Lane LOS	F	and the first of the		period and which the first	are the area of the second	F	strange of the of the state of	nen anternaria e stato e cheradadad
Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS	0.1			0.0		166.3 F		
Intersection Summary		. *./		ont, optimizing				a within Wester and Intern
Average Delay			0.1					
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization		79.1%	h i i i	CU Lev	el of Service	С	

	۶		\mathbf{F}	¥	-	×	*	Ť	1	1	Ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	† Þ		۲	≜ †₽			\$			\$	
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Volume (veh/h)	0	1590	1	4	2246	2	3	0	6	1	0	0
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (veh/h) Pedestrians	0	1674	1	4	2364	2	4	0	7	1	0	0
Lane Width (π) Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Diockaye								的原始透影	PERSONAL			
Median type	uran di su		00000000000				KUTTO A MAT			1	λ/I Τ Ι	
Median storage veh)							CREWING	1			1	
Linstream signal (ff)		SALEN KER	NER AL		新行和 目的数	2017/07/07	RUHUMAR			undiovertita	eneri	
pX. platoon unblocked							ansornanten	laonn as tuallach				
vC. conflicting volume	2366			1675			2865	4049	837	3218	4048	1183
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	10-10-10-10-10-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20-20	na na statutura.		NURAPATA DOM			1674	1674	101011-2422-221001	2374	2374	In The State State
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							1191	2375		844	1675	
vCu, unblocked vol	2366			1675			2865	4049	837	3218	4048	1183
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.6			7.5	6.5	6.9	7.5	6.5	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)							6.5	5.5		6.5	5.5	
tF (s)	2.2			2.5			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	100			99			95	100	98	96	100	100
cM capacity (veh/h)	209			290			64	44	314	30	43	185
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB1	WB 2	WB 3	NB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	0	1116	559	4	1576	790	11	1				
Volume Left	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	1				
Volume Right	0	0	1	0	0	2	7	0				
cSH	1700	1700	1700	290	1700	1700	137	30				
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.66	0.33	0.01	0.93	0.46	0.08	0.04				
Queue Length (ft)	0	0	0	1	0	0	6	3			19 J. A. 19 J. 19 10 11 19 10	10077912/0790/19/0-4
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0:0	17.6	0.0	0.0	33.4	130.0				
Lane LOS		SALANDA PARAMANAN	NUMBER OF STREET	C			D	F		CARLON - TO COMMAND		Cost Arallel reading in
Approach Delay (s)	0.0			0.0			33.4	130.0				
Approach LOS							D	-1				
Intersection Summary	÷*	Supp. Varra out .	*.W						te markat y a se set	v2 e 'e		1 12 13 15 14 Mart
Average Delay			0.1									Distant and a second second
Intersection Capacity Ut	lization		79.1%		CU Lev	el of Sei	vice		С			

4/27/2007

	۶	-	-	•	×	4	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL.	SBR	
Lane Configurations	ኘ	^	<u>†</u> }	10.00	¥۲		, με μεταπτολογ του του το ποροποιού με στο το το το το προτοχώτα το το το ποροποιού το το προσφηρικό το το το
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Volume (veh/h)	9	1413	2123	36	27	5	合于这种的名称是自然的第三世间的 计正向中间语言
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85	
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	9	1487	2235	38	32	6	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							10 20 a 0.3 4 5 a 20 a
Median type					WLTL		
Median storage veh)	NUTLEO 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10		Provide H () (Provide Preval)		1		ni azar dan barni barni barni andar ang ing ing ing ing ing ing ing ang ing ing ing ing ing ing ing ing ing i
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked		TELEVISION CONTRACTOR		nsteamin to be	1922 - 217 - 219	الالاستين فالعاليا	
vC, conflicting volume	2273				3016	1136	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol					2254		
vC2, stage 2 conf vol	0070	相同的问题			763	1100	
VCU, UNDIOCKED VOI	22/3	11111111111111	2:11:01:01:0		3016	1136	
tC, single (s)	4.1	的理想的出			6.8	6.9	
tC, 2 stage (s)	0.0		neter tiskly	Nacia pitrana a	5.8	0.0	en deut maeur de la companya de la c
	2.2	家的遊出時			3.5	3.3	
pU queue free %	90			icatebrona i	42	97	
	220				50	199	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB2	<u>SB 1</u>	
Volume Total	9	744	744	1490	783	38	
Volume Left	9	0	0	0	0	32	
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	38	6	
cSH	228	1700	1700	1700	1700	62	
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.44	0.44	0.88	0.46	0.61	
Queue Length (ft)	3	0	0	0	0	63	
Control Delay (s)	21.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	129.1	
Lane LOS	0.4			0.0		100 1	an salah kerupakan kerangkan kerupakan di kerupakan kerupakan kerupakan di kerupakan kerupakan kerupakan kerupa
Approach LOS	0.1			0.0		129.1 F	
Intersection Summary		webers the s					
Average Delay			1.3				
Intersection Capacity Uti	ilization		76.5%	ŀ	CU Leve	el of Serv	rice C

			CALCULATION/DES	IGN RECO	DRD
	Kittelson & Associates, Inc. Transportation Planning/Traffic Engineering	DATE 4/10	PROJECT #	8439	
	Baltimore • Ft. Lauderdale • Orlando • Portland http://www.kittelson.com	PROJECT NAME	OR 22		
SUBJECT	SEGMENT V/C OR 22	BY DXH	SHEET #	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
\$2007 → <u>GREENS</u>	FXISTING 325th HOUR VOLS- X00D TO CR 51	¥			

WB VOL = 1275 EB VOL = 1760 R

-> 0K 51 TO 50+ WB VOL = 2220 EB VOL = 1500

-> 30th TO DOALTS FELRY

WB VOL =	= 2240
EB VOL	= 1590

}

Phone: E-mail: Fax:

_____OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS______

Analyst: Agency/Co: Date: Analysis Period: Highway: From/To: Jurisdiction: Analysis Year: Project ID:	JXH Kittelson 4/10/2007 Existing 2007 OR 22 OR 51 to 50th ODOT 2007	PM Ave				
		_FREE-	FLOW SPEED			
Lane width	Direction		1 12.0	ft	2 12.0	ft
Right edge Left edge Total latera Access points per	al clearance r mile		6.0 6.0 12.0 5	ft ft ft	6.0 6.0 12.0 6	ft ft ft
Median type Free-flow speed: FFS or BFFS Lane width adjustment, FLW Lateral clearance adjustment, FI Median type adjustment, FM Access points adjustment, FA Free-flow speed			Divided Base 60.0 0.0 0.0 0.0* 1.3 58.8	mph mph mph mph mph mph	Divided Base 60.0 0.0 0.0 0.0* 1.5 58.5	mph mph mph mph mph mph
			VOLUME			
Volume, V Peak-hour factor, Peak 15-minute vo Trucks and buses	Direction , PHF olume, v15		1 1275 0.95 336 2	vph %	2 1700 0.95 447 2	vph %
Recreational veh: Terrain type Grade Segment lengt Number of lanes	icles th		1 Level 0.00 0.00 2	8 8 Mi	1 Level 0.00 0.00 2	8 % mi
Driver population Trucks and buses Recreational veh: Heavy vehicle ad Flow rate, vp	n adjustment, : PCE, ET icles PCE, ER justment, fHV	ÉP	1.00 1.5 1.2 0.988 679	pcphpl	1.00 1.5 1.2 0.988 905	pcphpl
			RESULTS			

Direction		1		2	
Flow rate, vp		67_9	pcphpl 、	905	pcphpl
Free-flow speed, FFS		58.8	mph	58.5	mph
Avg. passenger-car travel speed,	S	58.8	mph	58.5	mph
Level of service, LOS		В		B	_
Density, D		11.6	pc/mi/ln	15.5	pc/mi/ln

Overall results are not computed when free-flow speed is less than 45 mph.

$$V/c = \frac{V_{P}}{c}$$

= $\frac{EB}{2100^{*}}$ = $\frac{WB}{2100}$
= $0.32 EB$ = $0.43 WB$

Phone: E-mail: Fax:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

Analyst: Agency/Co: Date: Analysis Period: Highway: From/To: Jurisdiction: Analysis Year: Project ID:	JXH Kittelson 4/10/2007 Existing 2007 OR 22 OR 51 to 50th ODOT 2007	PM Ave				
		FREE-	FLOW SPEED			
	Direction		1		2	
Lane width			12.0	ft	12.0	ft
Lateral clearance	9:		C O	<u></u>	C O	<u>c</u>
Left edge			6.U 6.0	1L ft	6.0	IC ft
Total latera	al clearance		12.0	ft	12.0	ft
Access points per	: mile		6		6	
Median type			Divided		Divided	
Free-flow speed:			Base		Base	
FFS or BFFS	mont FIN		60.0	mph	60.0	mph
Lateral clearance	adjustment	FLC	0.0	mpn	0.0	mph
Median type adjus	stment. FM	110	0.0*	mph	0.0*	mph
Access points ad	justment, FA		1.5	mph	1.5	mph
Free-flow speed	-		58.5	mph	58.5	mph
			VOLUME			
	Direction		1		2	
Volume, V	DITECTION		1500	voh	2220	wh
Peak-hour factor,	, PHF		0.95		0.95	• <u>P</u> 11
Peak 15-minute vo	olume, v15		395		584	
Trucks and buses			2	90	2	oto
Recreational vehi	icles		1	olo	1	8
Terrain type			Level	0.	Level	0.
Segment lengt	•h		0.00	5 mi	0.00	ס הית
Number of lanes			2	1112	2	1((1
Driver population	n adjustment,	fP	1.00		1.00	
Trucks and buses	PCE, ET		1.5		1.5	
Recreational vehi	icles PCE, ER		1.2		1.2	
Heavy vehicle adj	justment, iHV		U.988 798	ncnhnl	U.988 1182	ncnhnl
riow race, vp				ЬсЪпЪт	TTOT	РсБирт

Direction		1		2	
Flow rate, vp		798	pcphpl	1182	pcphpl
Free-flow speed, FFS		58.5	mph	58.5	mph
Avg. passenger-car travel speed, S	S	58.5	mph	58.5	mph
Level of service, LOS		В		С	
Density, D		13.6	pc/mi/ln	20.2	pc/mi/ln

Overall results are not computed when free-flow speed is less than 45 mph.

 $V_{L} = \frac{V_{P}}{C}$ = $\frac{1182}{2100}$ = $\frac{1182}{2100}$ = 0.56 WB

Phone: E-mail: Fax:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

FREE-FLOW SPEED Direction 1 2 Lane width 12.0 ft 12.0 ft Lateral clearance: Right edge 6.0 ft 6.0 ft Night edge 6.0 ft 6.0 ft 7 Access points per mile 6 6 6 6 Median type Divided Divided Free-flow speed: Base Base Free-flow speed: Base Base Free-flow speed: mph 0.0 mph Lateral clearance adjustment, FLW 0.0 mph 0.0 mph Access points adjustment, FA 1.5 mph 1.5 mph Free-flow speed 1290 vph 2240 vph Median type adjustment, FA 1.5 mph 5 5 Free-flow speed 1290 vph 2240 vph Peak-hour factor, PHF 0.95 0.95 2.8 2 8 Recreational vehicles 1 <th>Analyst: Agency/Co: Date: Analysis Period: Highway: From/To: Jurisdiction: Analysis Year: Project ID:</th> <th>JXH Kittelson 4/10/2007 Existing 2007 OR 22 50th Ave to Da ODOT 2007</th> <th>PM oaks</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	Analyst: Agency/Co: Date: Analysis Period: Highway: From/To: Jurisdiction: Analysis Year: Project ID:	JXH Kittelson 4/10/2007 Existing 2007 OR 22 50th Ave to Da ODOT 2007	PM oaks				
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} \text{Direction} & 1 & 2 \\ \text{Lane width} & 12.0 & \text{ft} & 12.0 & \text{ft} \\ \text{Lateral clearance:} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$			_FREE-H	LOW SPEED			
Right edge6.0ft6.0ftLeft edge6.0ft6.0ftTotal lateral clearance12.0ft12.0ftAccess points per mile666Median typeDividedDividedFree-flow speed:BaseBaseFFS or BFFS60.0mph60.0mphLane width adjustment, FLW0.0mph0.0mphLateral clearance adjustment, FLC0.0mph0.0mphAccess points adjustment, FA1.5mph1.5mphFree-flow speed58.5mph58.5mphFree-flow speed12VOLUMEVolumeVolumeVolumeVolumeCorrection12Volume, V1590vph2240Peak 15-minute volume, v15418589Trucks and buses2%2Recreational vehicles1%1Grade0.00%0.00%Grade0.00%0.00%Segment length0.00mi0.00miNumber of lanes2222Driver population adjustment, fP1.001.00Trucks and buses PCE, ET1.51.5Recreational vehicles PCE, ER1.21.2Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV0.9880.988Flow rate, vp846pcphp	Lane width	Direction		1 12.0	ft	2 12.0	ft
Access points per mile66Median typeDividedDividedFree-flow speed:BaseBaseFFS or BFFS60.0mph60.0mphLane width adjustment, FLW0.0mph0.0mphLateral clearance adjustment, FLC0.0mph0.0mphAccess points adjustment, FM0.0*mph1.5mphAccess points adjustment, FA1.5mph1.5mphFree-flow speed58.5mph58.5mphVOLUMEUrection12Volume, V1590vph2240vphPeak-hour factor, PHF0.950.95Peak 15-minute volume, v15418589Trucks and buses2%2Recreational vehicles1%1Terrain typeLevelLevelGrade0.00%0.00Segment length0.00mi0.00Number of lanes222Driver population adjustment, fP1.001.00Trucks and buses PCE, ET1.51.5Recreational vehicles PCE, ER1.21.2Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV0.9880.988Flow rate, vp846pcphpl1193Pophpl1193pcphpl	Right edge Left edge Total laters	al clearance		6.0 6.0 12.0	ft ft ft	6.0 6.0 12.0	ft ft ft
Direction12Volume, V1590vph2240vphPeak-hour factor, PHF0.950.950.95Peak 15-minute volume, v15418589Trucks and buses2%2%Recreational vehicles1%1%Terrain typeLevelLevelGrade0.00%Segment length0.00mi0.00mi1Number of lanes22221Driver population adjustment, fP1.001.001.001.00Trucks and buses PCE, ET1.51.51.51.5Recreational vehicles FCE, ER1.21.21.21.2Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV0.9880.98855Flow rate, vp846pcphpl1193pcphpl	Access points per mile Median type Free-flow speed: FFS or BFFS Lane width adjustment, FLW Lateral clearance adjustment, FL Median type adjustment, FM Access points adjustment, FA Free-flow speed			6 Divided Base 60.0 0.0 0.0 0.0* 1.5 58.5	mph mph mph mph mph mph	6 Divided Base 60.0 0.0 0.0 0.0* 1.5 58.5	mph mph mph mph mph mph
Direction12Volume, V1590vph2240vphPeak-hour factor, PHF0.950.95.95Peak 15-minute volume, v15418589Trucks and buses2%2%Recreational vehicles1%1%Terrain typeLevelLevel			77	/OLUME			
Trucks and buses2%2%Recreational vehicles1%1%Terrain typeLevelLevelLevelGrade0.00%0.00%Segment length0.00mi0.00miNumber of lanes222Driver population adjustment, fP1.001.00miTrucks and buses PCE, ET1.51.5Recreational vehicles PCE, ER1.21.2Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV0.9880.988Flow rate, vp846pcphpl1193	Volume, V Peak-hour factor Peak 15-minute v	Direction , PHF olume, v15		1 1590 0.95 418	vph	2 2240 0.95 589	vph
Grade0.00%0.00%Segment length0.00mi0.00miNumber of lanes22Driver population adjustment, fP1.001.00Trucks and buses PCE, ET1.51.5Recreational vehicles PCE, ER1.21.2Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV0.9880.988Flow rate, vp846pcphpl1193	Trucks and buses Recreational veh Terrain type	icles		2 1 Level	0 ¹⁰ 0 ¹⁰	2 1 Level	90 90
Flow rate, vp 846 pcphpl 1193 pcphpl	Grade Segment leng Number of lanes Driver population Trucks and buses Recreational veh Heavy vehicle ad	th n adjustment, PCE, ET icles PCE, ER justment, fHV	fP	0.00 0.00 2 1.00 1.5 1.2 0.988	% mi	0.00 0.00 2 1.00 1.5 1.2 0.988	° mi
RECIITIVS	Flow rate, vp		1	846	pcphpl	1193	pcphpl

Direction	1		2	
Flow rate, vp	846	pcphpl	1193	pcphpl
Free-flow speed, FFS	58.5	mph	58.5	mph
Avg. passenger-car travel speed, S	58.5	mph	58.5	mph
Level of service, LOS	В		С	
Density, D	14.5	pc/mi/ln	20.4	pc/mi/ln

Overall results are not computed when free-flow speed is less than 45 mph.



6.3 Multi-Lane Highways

Analysis procedures for uninterrupted-flow multi-lane highways are provided in Chapter 21 of the *HCM*. Highways analyzed with this procedure must maintain a minimum of two travel lanes in each direction, would typically have direct access allowed through driveways and at-grade intersections, and must maintain uninterrupted flow. Highways with access limited to on-ramps and off-ramps should be analyzed using the Basic Freeway Segment methodology. In addition, highways experiencing interrupted flow from influences such as traffic signals and on-street parking should be analyzed using a different methodology, such as the Urban Streets methodology from the *HCM*.

These procedures are very similar to those previously described for basic freeway segments, with slightly different input data needs. The most notable differences include the need to account for median type and access density. For a complete description of the analysis methodology, refer to Chapter 21 of the *HCM*.

While the *HCM* methodology uses level of service as a performance measure (based on vehicle density in passenger cars per mile per lane), volume/capacity ratios can be calculated from this analysis for comparison against ODOT's adopted mobility standards by following the steps listed below. Note that separate volume/capacity ratios must be calculated for each direction of travel.

- 1. Assuming level of service E/F threshold represents capacity, determine the segment capacity by interpolating between the values for "maximum service flow rate" at level of service E displayed in Exhibit 21-2 of the *HCM* for the appropriate free-flow speed. Free-flow speed will be either calculated by this methodology or assumed.
- Divide the calculated flow rate (v_p) by the interpolated capacity to obtain a volume/capacity ratio.

		LOS							
Free-Flow Speed	Criteria	Α	В	С	D	E			
60 mi/h	Maximum density (pc/mi/ln)	11	18	26	35	40			
	Average speed (mi/h)	60.0	60.0	59.4	56.7	55.0			
	Maximum volume to capacity ratio (v/c)	0.30	0.49	0.70	0.90	1.00			
	Maximum service flow rate (pc/h/ln)	660	1080	1550	1980	2200			
55 mi/h	Maximum density (pc/mi/ln)	11	18	26	35	41			
	Average speed (mi/h)	55.0	55.0	54.9	52.9	51.2			
	Maximum v/c	0.29	0.47	0.68	0.88	1.00			
	Maximum service flow rate (pc/h/ln)	600	990	1430	1850	2100			
50 mi/h	Maximum density (pc/mi/ln)	11	18	26	35	43			
	Average speed (mi/h)	50.0	50.0	50.0	48.9	47.5			
	Maximum v/c	0.28	0.45	0.65	0.86	1.00			
	Maximum service flow rate (pc/h/in)	550	900	1300	1710	2000			
45 mi/h	Maximum density (pc/mi/ln)	11	18	26	35	45			
	Average speed (mi/h)	45.0	45.0	45.0	44.4	42.2			
	Maximum v/c	0.26	0.43	0.62	0.82	1.00			
	Maximum service flow rate (pc/h/ln)	490	810	1170	1550	1900			

EXHIBIT 21-2. LOS CRITERIA FOR MULTILANE HIGHWAYS

Note:

The exact mathematical relationship between density and volume to capacity ratio (v/c) has not always been maintained at LOS boundaries because of the use of rounded values. Density is the primary determinant of LOS. LOS F is characterized by highly unstable and variable traffic flow. Prediction of accurate flow rate, density, and speed at LOS F is difficult.

The LOS criteria reflect the shape of the speed-flow and density-flow curves, particularly as speed remains relatively constant across LOS A to D but is reduced as capacity is approached. For FFS of 60, 55, 50, and 45 mi/h, Exhibit 21-2 gives the average speed, the maximum value of v/c, the maximum density, and the corresponding maximum service flow rate for each LOS.

As with other LOS criteria, the maximum service flow rates in Exhibit 21-2 are stated in terms of flow rate based on the peak 15-min volume. Demand or forecast hourly volumes generally are divided by the peak-hour factor (PHF) to reflect a maximum hourly flow rate before comparison with the criteria of Exhibit 21-2. Using the basic speed-flow curves (see Exhibit 21-3), the relationships between LOS, flow, and speed can be analyzed.

DETERMINING FFS

FFS is measured using the mean speed of passenger cars operating in low-tomoderate flow conditions (up to 1,400 pc/h/ln). Mean speed is virtually constant across this range of flow rates. Field measurement and estimation with guidelines provided in this chapter are methods that can be used to determine FFS.

The field measurement procedure is for those who prefer to gather data directly or to incorporate the measurements into a speed-monitoring program. However, field measurements are not necessary to apply the method.

The FFS of a highway can be determined directly from a speed study conducted in the field. If field-measured data are used, no adjustments need to be made to FFS. The speed study should be conducted along a reasonable length of highway within the segment under evaluation; for example, an upgrade should not be selected within a site that is generally level. Any speed measurement technique acceptable for other types of traffic engineering speed studies can be used.

The field study should be conducted in the more stable regime of low-to-moderate flow conditions (up to 1,400 pc/h/ln). If the speed study must be conducted at a flow rate of more than 1,400 pc/h/ln, the FFS can be found by using the model speed-flow curve, assuming that data on traffic volumes are recorded at the same time.

FFS occurs at flow rates ≤ 1,400 pc/h/ln

V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO





Attachment C Crash Rate Information

TABLE II: FIVE-YEAR COMPARISON OF STATE HIGHWAY CRASH RATES

Table II presents a comparison of state highway crash rates for the past five years, for urban and rural areas, by functional classification. Mileage is shown for the current data year only.

JURISDICTION AND		2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
FUNCTIONAL CLASSIFICATION	MILES*	Rate	Rate	Rate	Rate	Rate
TOTAL STATE HWY SYSTEM	7.461.60	0.85	0.86	0.79	0.99	0.93
Interstate Freeways	729.57	0.39	0.41	0.37	0.42	0.37
Other Fwys/Expressways	52.26	0.78	0.80	0.78	0.87	0.81
Non-Freeways (Combined)	6,679.77	1.26	1.24	1.13	1.46	1.39
Other Principal Arterials	3,283.55	1.29	1.27	1.16	1.53	1.48
Minor Arterials	1.966.58	1.14	1.14	1.02	1.20	1.07
Urban Collectors	8.86	0.68	1.19	1.23	2.08	5.66
Rural Maior Collectors	1.383.18	1.11	1.14	0.93	1.26	1.09
Rural Minor Collectors	34.71	0.66	1.30	0.32	1.30	3.38
Rural Local	2.89	16.52	4.23	2.68	8.06	0.00
URBAN HWY SYSTEM	826.58	1.14	1.16	1.08	1.47	1.37
Interstate Freeways	176.15	0.48	0.51	0.50	0.61	0.50
Other Fwys/Expressways	52.26	0.78	0.80	0.78	0.87	0.81
Non-Freeways (Combined)	598.17	2.06	2.04	1.84	2.71	2.61
Other Principal Arterials	515.27	2.06	2.05	1.85	2.74	2.64
Minor Arterials	74.04	2.09	1.94	1.77	2.41	2.26
Urban Collectors	8.86	0.68	1.19	1.23	2.08	5.66
Urban Cities	609.50	1.20	1.21	1.15	1.60	1.45
Interstate Freeways	126.00	0.52	0.53	0.53	0.64	0.55
Other Fwvs/Expresswavs	46.20	0.76	0.78	0.76	0.89	0.68
Non-Freeways (Combined)	437.30	2.24	2.26	2.05	3.14	2.86
Other Principal Arterials	388.71	2.23	2.25	2.04	3.15	2.88
Minor Arterials	46.94	2.38	2.38	2.21	2.98	2.55
Urban Collectors	1.65	1.84	1.78	1.51	1.68	7.46
Suburban Areas	217.08	0.88	0.95	0.79	0.90	0.96
Interstate Freeways	50 15	0.35	0.44	0.35	0.48	0.27
Other Ewys/Expressways	6.06	0.00	1.05	1.06	0.40	1 91
Non-Freeways (Combined)	160.87	1 45	1.39	1.00	1 29	1.01
Other Principal Arterials	126.56	1 45	1 44	1 22	1.34	1.10
Minor Arterials	27 10	1.40	1.04	0.71	0.60	1 19
Urban Collectors	7 21	0.42	0.94	0.84	3 10	1.04
RURAL HWY SYSTEM	6.635.02	0.60	0.61	0.54	0.63	0.60
Interstate Freeways	553 42	0.28	0.31	0.25	0.26	0.25
Non-Freeways (Combined)	6 081 60	0.80	0.80	0.20	0.20	0.82
Other Principal Arterials	2 768 28	0.00	0.69	0.64	0.77	0.76
Minor Arterials	1.892.54	0.95	1.00	0.88	1.03	0.90
Rural Major Collectors	1.383.18	1.11	1.14	0.93	1.26	1.09
Rural Minor Collectors	34.71	0.66	1.30	0.32	1.30	3.38
Rural Local	2.89	16.52	4.23	2.68	8.06	0.00
Rural Cities	251.54	0.78	0.79	0.84	1.04	0.95
Interstate Freeways	19.00	0.07	0.12	0.03	0.04	0.04
Non-Freeways (Combined)	232.54	1.04	1.01	1.11	1.40	1.23
Other Principal Arterials	127.92	0.94	0.90	0.99	1.28	1.16
Minor Arterials	59.52	1.23	1.23	1.62	1.67	1.43
Rural Major Collectors	44.85	1.35	1.40	0.95	1.68	1.48
Rural Minor Collectors	0.25	4.57	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Rural Areas	6,383.48	0.59	0.60	0.52	0.60	0.58
Interstate Freeways	534.42	0.29	0.32	0.26	0.27	0.27
Non-Freeways (Combined)	5,849.06	0.78	0.78	0.69	0.82	0.78
Other Principal Arterials	2,640.36	0.70	0.68	0.62	0.72	0.72
Minor Arterials	1,833.02	0.93	0.98	0.84	0.97	0.86
Rural Major Collectors	1,338.33	1.08	1.11	0.93	1.20	1.04
Rural Minor Collectors	34.46	0.36	1.40	0.35	1.40	3.65
Rural Local	2.89	16.52	4.23	2.68	8.06	0.00

See Table IV for information on official highway mileage and VMT data.

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT DIVISION TRANSPORTATION DATA SECTION - CRASH ANALYSIS AND REPORTING UNIT CRASH SUMMARIES BY YEAR BY COLLISION TYPE

Willamina-Salem Hwy (Hwy 30, Route 22) mile point 16.94 to mile point 22.04 $\,$

1-1-2002 through 12-31-2006

		NON-	PROPERTY										INTER-	
	FATAL	FATAL	DAMAGE	TOTAL	PEOPLE	PEOPLE		DRY	WET			INTER-	SECTION	OFF-
COLLISION TYPE	CRASHES	CRASHES	ONLY	CRASHES	KILLED	INJURED	TRUCKS	SURF	SURF	DAY	DARK	SECTION	RELATED	ROAD
YEAR: 2006														
ANGLE	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
FIXED / OTHER OBJECT	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	0	1
HEAD-ON	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
NON-COLLISION	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
REAR-END	0	5	5	10	0	6	0	7	2	9	1	3	0	0
SIDESWIPE - MEETING	0	2	1	3	0	3	1	1	2	1	2	0	0	1
SIDESWIPE - OVERTAKING	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
TURNING MOVEMENTS	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	0
2006 TOTAL	0	9	12	21	0	12	1	15	5	17	4	5	0	2
YEAR: 2005														
	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	ו ס	0	0	0	1
	0	2	0	2	0	3	0	1	2	2 1	0	2	0	0
	0	1	0	1	0	7	<u>ک</u>	1	0	7	1	1	0	0
	0	4	4	0	0	1	1	4	4	0	1	1	0	0
	0	1	1	2	0	3	1	1	1	5	2	0	0	0
	0	a I	4 10	10	0	16	1	4	8	16	3	5	0	1
2003 10174	0	5	10	15	0	10	-		0	10	0	0	0	
YEAR: 2004														
ANGLE	0	2	0	2	0	4	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	0
FIXED / OTHER OBJECT	0	3	2	5	0	3	0	1	4	1	4	2	0	5
MISCELLANEOUS	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
REAR-END	0	2	4	6	0	3	0	4	2	6	0	1	1	0
SIDESWIPE - OVERTAKING	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
TURNING MOVEMENTS	0	4	1	5	0	8	0	4	1	4	1	4	0	0
2004 TOTAL	0	12	8	20	0	19	0	13	7	14	6	9	1	5
YEAR: 2003														
ANGLE	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0
FIXED / OTHER OBJECT	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
HEAD-ON	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0
PARKING MOVEMENTS	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
REAR-END	0	4	3	7	0	6	0	3	4	5	2	3	0	0
TURNING MOVEMENTS	1	7	5	13	1	17	1	9	4	10	3	9	0	0
2003 TOTAL	1	14	9	24	1	29	1	15	9	18	6	13	0	1
YEAR: 2002														
ANGLE	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	٥
	0	5	3	8	0	7	0	4	4	2	6	0	0	7
HEAD-ON	0	1	0	1	0	1	0		1	1	0	0	0	0
NON-COLLISION	0	1	0	1	0	3	0	1	0	0	1	1	Ő	0
PEDESTRIAN	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	Ő	1	0	0	Ő	1
REAR-END	0	2	2	4	n	ĥ	0 0	2	2	3	1	0	ő	1
SIDESWIPE - OVERTAKING	0	1	1	2	n	1	1	2	0	2	0	0	ő	0
TURNING MOVEMENTS	0	9	4	13	0	19	1	11	2	6	7	9	õ	0
2002 TOTAL	0	21	10	31	0	39	2	21	10	16	15	11	Ō	9

CDS150 04/01/2008		OREGON TI	DEPARTMENT RANSPORTATI CF	OF TRANSP ON DATA SE RASH SUMM/	ORTATION CTION - CI ARIES BY Y	I - TRANSPO RASH ANAL 'EAR BY CC	ORTATION I YSIS AND F OLLISION TY	DEVELOPN REPORTIN PE	MENT DIVI G UNIT	SION			PAG	E: 2
Willamina-Salem Hwy (Hwy 30, Route 22) mile point 16.94 to mile point 22.04 1-1-2002 through 12-31-2006														
	FATAI	NON- FATAI	PROPERTY DAMAGE	τοται	PEOPI E			DRY	WET			INTER-	INTER-	OFF-
COLLISION TYPE	CRASHES	CRASHES	ONLY	CRASHES	KILLED	INJURED	TRUCKS	SURF	SURF	DAY	DARK	SECTION	RELATED	ROAD
FINAL TOTAL	1	65	49	115	1	115	8	75	39	81	34	44	1	18

Note: Legislative changes to DMV's vehicle crash reporting requirements, effective 01/01/2004, may result in fewer property damage only crashes being eligible for inclusion in the Statewide Crash Data File.

OREGON DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION - TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT DIVISION TRANSPORTATION DATA SECTION - CRASH ANALYSIS AND REPORTING UNIT CRASH SUMMARIES BY YEAR BY COLLISION TYPE

Independence Hwy (Hwy 193, Route 51) mile point 0.00 to mile point 0.25 in Polk County 1-1-2002 through 12-31-2006

COLLISION TYPE	FATAL CRASHES	NON- FATAL CRASHES	PROPERTY DAMAGE ONLY	TOTAL CRASHES	PEOPLE KILLED	PEOPLE	TRUCKS	DRY SURF	WET SURF	DAY	DARK	INTER- SECTION	INTER- SECTION RELATED	OFF- ROAD
YEAR: 2003														
MISCELLANEOUS	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
2003 TOTAL	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
YEAR: 2002														
FIXED / OTHER OBJECT	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	2
2002 TOTAL	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	2
FINAL TOTAL	0	1	2	3	0	1	0	2	1	2	1	0	0	2

Note: Legislative changes to DMV's vehicle crash reporting requirements, effective 01/01/2004, may result in fewer property damage only crashes being eligible for inclusion in the Statewide Crash Data File.

Five Year OR 22 Crash History by Segment January 1, 2001 through December 31, 2005

Both Directions				2003	Nu	mber of (Crashes		Average
			Segment	Average	Property				Annual
	Mile	post	Length	Annual Daily	Damage				Crash
Segment Description	From	То	(Miles)	Traffic (AADT)	Only	Injury	Fatal	Total	Rate ¹
Salem Rural Area	16.94	21.19	4.25	28,740					
5 Years					33	47	1	81	
(Average Annual)					7	9	0	16	0.36
Salem Suburban Area	21.19	22.15	0.96	34,600					
5 Years					16	21	1	38	
(Average Annual)					3	4	0	8	0.63
Total/Overall	38.13	43.34	5.21	63340					
5 Years					49	68	2	119	
(Average Annual)*					10	14	0	24	0.20

¹ Crashes per Million Vehicle Miles

Note: Average annual "total" column may not agree with component total due to rounding.

Five Year OR 22 Crash History by Segment January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2006

Both Directions				2003	Nu	mber of (Crashes		Average
			Segment	Average	Property				Annual
	Mile	post	Length	Annual Daily	Damage				Crash
Segment Description	From	То	(Miles)	Traffic (AADT)	Only	Injury	Fatal	Total	Rate ¹
Salem Rural Area	16.94	21.19	4.25	28,740					
5 Years					33	46	1	80	
(Average Annual)					7	9	0	16	0.36
Salem Suburban Area	21.19	22.04	0.85	34,600					
5 Years					19	16	0	35	
(Average Annual)					4	3	0	7	0.65
Total/Overall	38.13	43.23	5.10	63340					
5 Years					52	62	1	115	
(Average Annual)*					10	12	0	23	0.20

¹ Crashes per Million Vehicle Miles

Note: Average annual "total" column may not agree with component total due to rounding.

Five Year OR 51 Crash History by Segment

January 1, 2002 through December 31, 2006

Both Directions				2003	Nu	mber of (Crashes		Average
Segment Description	Milepost From To		Segment Length (Miles)	Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT)	Property Damage Only	Injury	Fatal	Total	Annual Crash Rate ¹
Highway to Independence Rural Area	0.00	0.25	0.25	7,100					
5 Years					2	1	0	3	
(Average Annual)					0	0	0	1	0.93
Total/Overall	0.00	0.25	0.25	7,100					
5 Years					2	1	0	3	
(Average Annual)*					0	0	0	1	0.93

¹ Crashes per Million Vehicle Miles

Note: Average annual "total" column may not agree with component total due to rounding.

Attachment D HCM Future Intersection Capacity

4/27/2007	
-----------	--

	-	\rightarrow	Solution	-	- 1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	≜ ↑		٢	**	**	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Volume (veh/h)	2508	2	23	3269	5	5
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (veh/h) Pedestrians	2640	2	24	3441	6	6
Lane Width (ft)						
Walking Speed (ft/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)			The sector descent from		10.00 × 2000,0000,000	
Median type					None	
Median storage veh)			al manufacture	turit tranima	7100725729.04 00757	Participants and the owner states and
Upstream signal (ft)						
pA, platoon unblocked			0040		4440	4004
vC, conflicting volume			2042		4410	1321
vC1, stage 1 contivol				PRACE AND ADDRESS	i son an	
VCz, stage z coni vol			2642	General and	4410	1321
tC single (s)			2042		68	60
tC, 2 stane (s)			-7.1		0.0	0.3
tF (c)			22	entern isar	35	22
n0 queue free %	1993 128 A 5101		85		0.0	96
cM canacity (veh/h)			163		1	150
	ED 4	ED 0	14/15 4	NO O	14/12 0	NEX
Unrection, Lane #	EDI	EB 2	VVD 1	VVB 2	VVB 3	
Volume I otal	1/60	882	24	1/21	1/21	12
Volume Len	0	U	24	0	0	b
	1700	1700	162	1700	1700	0
Volume to Conceitu	1/00	0.52	0.45	1/00	1700	C AE
Oucure Longth (ff)	1.04	0.52	0.15	1.01	1.01	0.40
Control Doloy (c)	0 0.0	0	210	0	0	
Long LOS	0.0	0.0	31.0 D	0.0	0.0	
Approach Delay (c)	0.0		02			F Err
Approach LOS	0.0		0.2	的目的目的		E E
Intersection Summary	nterior and the second second		4.3 ¹ Apr 3 - 41-			
Average Delay		Second second	19.3			and the second second
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization	1	10.4%		CU Leve	el of Service

	≯	-	\mathbf{i}	¥	+	*	•	Ť	1	\$	Ļ	\checkmark
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ሻ	4 †		ኘ	ተኩ			با	1		4	
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Volume (veh/h)	26	2485	2	650	3274	31	1	0	364	1	0	17
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	27	2616	2	684	3446	33	1	0	383	1	0	18
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)				10.100 (1.21) (1.10) (1.10)			odia taona iy	NAMES AND ADDRESS OF ADD	CAPIT-DATE OF	ca of any state state state. Set	NOT THE REAL OF	and the stores of a
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)		et all the second second	n name and states		TRANSPORT	nter de la contra de			(1995) (1997)			CARACTERIZZANI
Median type								None			None	
Viedian storage ven)			tere en	GER AND CONTRACTOR		triant to and an		AURI PROPERTY	रेल्यान (हेल्याकोल			210/2010/00/00
opstream signal (It)			初時和時代								安静的建筑现	
pA, platoon unblocked	2470		1710031087	2618			5781	7510	1300	6104	7504	1720
vC, connicting volume	3479			2010			5701	1019	1209	0194	1004	1739
vC2 stage 2 conf vol												
vCu unblocked vol	3479			2618			5781	7519	1309	6194	7504	1739
tC single (s)	41			4.1			7.5	65	6.9	7.5	65	6.9
tC 2 stage (s)							1.0	0.0		,	0.0	0.0
tE (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	64	NALESCH DALLASSING		0		92038	0	0	0	0	0	77
cM capacity (veh/h)	75		En se are	164		-	0	0	152	0	0	78
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB1	WB 2	WB 3	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1			
Volume Total	27	1744	874	684	2298	1181	1	383	19			
Volume Left	27	0	0	684	0	0	1	0	1	eren marine and and	and the second second second	
Volume Right	0	0	2	0	0	33	0	383	18			
cSH	75	1700	1700	164	1700	1700	0	152	0			
Volume to Capacity	0.36	1.03	0.51	4.18	1.35	0.69	Err	2.51	Err			
Queue Length (ft)	35	0	0	Err	0	0	Err	829	Err			
Control Delay (s)	78.1	0.0	0.0	1486.6	.0.0	0.0	Err	747.1	Err			
Lane LOS	F			F			F	F	F			
Approach Delay (s)	0.8			244.3			Err		Err		ga ju su anta Sanjsa dala	발 사 에너지
Approach LOS							F		F			
Intersection Summary		u – e unter îs 🛛 🤋						A. 60.00			***	
Average Delay			Err	and a state of the			-			Carl No. of Concession, or other		
Intersection Capacity Ut	lization	1	29.7%		CU Lev	el of Sei	vice		Н			

	-	\rightarrow	✓	-	- 1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations			۲	* *	¥	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Volume (veh/h)	2845	11	26	3957	6	35
Peak Hour Factor	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92	0.92
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	3092	12	28	4301	7	38
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (ft)					NE BARRIER	
Walking Speed (ft/s)	No construction and the				sto os en la marca	CHARLES SHOW A CHARLES
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (ven)					New	Nosiktedataraanaa
Median type		計算に対応的	制造的制度		None	
Ineutan storage ven)				an a		
nX platoon unblocked				and an and a state of the		
vC conflicting volume		NO DI PODICE	3104		5305	1552
vC1 stage 1 conf vol		ARTING BERNARD	0104	Manage Research and Res	0000	1002
vC2_stage 2 conf vol					NUMBER	
vCu, unblocked vol		RED. CONTRACTOR	3104	on the stand of the	5305	1552
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.8	6.9
tC. 2 stage (s)		NUTI SA ISA UDID				7.17
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %	Thillin Aller Barnette		72	Orton() (C24) Inc. (C2)	0	63
cM capacity (veh/h)			103		O	102
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	NB 1
Volume Total	2062	1043	28	2151	2151	45
Volume Left	0	0	28	0	0	.5
Volume Right	Ō	12	0	Ő	õ	38
cSH	1700	1700	103	1700	1700	1
Volume to Capacity	1.21	0.61	0.28	1.27	1.27	40.65
Queue Length (ft)	0	0	26	0	0	Err
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	53.0	0.0	0.0	Err
Lane LOS			F	and the second second		F
Approach Delay (s)	0.0		0.3			Err
Approach LOS						F
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			59.8			
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization	1	35.5%	I	CU Lev	el of Service

۶

	۰	-		- 🔨	- S	-	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	**	≜ 1≱	CIERCE CONTRACTOR OF A	¥,¥		
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Volume (veh/h)	348	2542	3773	180	5	220	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85	
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)) 366	2676	3972	189	6	259	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type					None		
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	4161				6137	2081	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	4161				6137	2081	
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.8	6.9	
tC, 2 stage (s)			er jaard weet die sterwee	a 175 mainte institute en a porte			
tF (s)	2.2	H GIODELIN			3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %	0			entral independences	0	0	
cM capacity (veh/h)	39				0	45	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	ĒB 2	EB 3	WIB 1	WB2	SB 1	
Volume Total	366	1338	1338	2648	1513	265	
Volume Left	366	0	0	0	0	6	
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	189	259	
cSH	39	1700	1700	1700	1700	0	
Volume to Capacity	9.50	0.79	0.79	1.56	0.89	Err	
Queue Length (ft)	Err	0	0	0	0	Err	
Control Delay (s)	4024.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Err	
Lane LOS	F					F	
Approach Delay (s)	484.6			0.0		Err	
Approach LOS						F	
Intersection Summary	mattless or attendence	er un gestingen de	una kungakalan	arman No + M + Harris -	· · · · · · ·		
Average Delay			Err				
Intersection Capacity U	Itilization	1	70.9%		CU Leve	el of Ser	vice H

	۶	-	\mathbf{i}	*	-	×	1	Ť	1	4	Ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	٦	朴		ሻ	朴诤			4			4	
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Volume (veh/h)	5	2442	- 7	50	3187	7	2	0	17	2	2	2
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	5	2571	7	53	3355	7	2	0	20	2	2	2
Pedestrians		nieszasine ust	unterretation		ni sepernika	01050.004.000	1001000000000000		men ministra		the kind of the first	Color da grantena
Lane Width (T)												Antine Sel
vvalking Speed (TVS)			CT CHILDREN IN MILLION			NEERA MUERIN						
Percent blockage								C.S.C.B.				历年四十四日
Modian type	2010 Ministerio	el se al la seco			Den i fren		149150585955	None			Nono	
Median storage veh)	CHICH RECEIPT							NONE			None	
Linstream signal (ft)	HARA DE LA			NEWSTON		NACIONAL	an di yangi					a z ranco
pX platoon unblocked		2633611930			2034023501						aran an ann	
vC. conflicting volume	3362	1901 S. Martin		2578			4371	6052	1289	4779	6052	1681
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	11,2,3,5,72,21					NACHLAN IN ALBO	0006242969080		1941 A WEAR OF AN	HERE AND NOW	Hilbith Color-Soli	
vC2, stage 2 conf vol												University of the
vCu, unblocked vol	3362	121127021025540		2578	aliania ca su ca	and the second s	4371	6052	1289	4779	6052	1681
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.2			7.5	6.5	6.9	7.5	6.5	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)					1111 H 4 1000 H 11400							
tF (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	94			67			0	100	87	0	0	97
cM capacity (veh/h)	84			162	e en		0	0	157	0	0	85
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB 2	WB 3	NB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	5	1714	864	-53	2236	1126	22	7				
Volume Left	5	0	0	53	0	0	2	2				
Volume Right	0	0	7	0	0	7	20	2				
cSH	84	1700	1700	162	1700	1700	0	0				and an experiment of the second
Volume to Capacity	0.06	1.01	0.51	0.33	1.32	0.66	Err	50.74				
Queue Length (ft)	5	0	0	33	0	0	Err	Err		te-standing Systematic		
Control Delay (s)	50.8	0.0	0.0	37.6	0.0	0.0	Err	Err				
Lane LOS	E		en contractor	E			F					AND DOCTOR OF STREET
Approach Delay (s)	0.1			0.6			Err	Err				
Approach LOS							F	F				
Intersection Summary				1 Apr - 19 MCN 19	aller a Kill anadire a	and a state of the second state	N. 16 39. J	و دو این کوهروز			S STER	met after eff
Average Delay			Err	and the second second	1	1	lide s size for an inter					Chicken alternation
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization	1	08.1%		CU Lev	el of Sei	rvice		F			

	->	\rightarrow	*	-	٦.	/*	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NEL	NER	
Lane Configurations	† Ъ			* *		Ť	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Volume (veh/h)	2461	0	0	3244	0	20	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85	
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	2591	0	0	3415	0	24	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							Sec.
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type					Raised		
Median storage veh)					1		
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked			0504		1000	1005	-
vC, conflicting volume			2591		4298	1295	190
VC1, stage 1 cont vol					2591		
VC2, stage 2 cont Vol		1 1 1 1 1 1	0504		1707	4005	
VCU, UNDIOCKED VOI		denerro entre de la composición de la c	2591		4298	1295	
tC, single (s)			4.1		0.0	0.9	5.93
tC, Z stage (s)			22	CONTRACTOR OF THE	0.0	2.2	
(F (S)			100		100	3.3	
pu queue free 70	Colona and		171		30	156	
civi capacity (venn)			1/1		50	100	123
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	WB 2	NE 1		
Volume Total	1727	864	1707	1707	24		THE OWNER
Volume Left	0	0	0	0	0	1975/2011 04 07 10 1 1 00 4 1 PAR	
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	24		
CSH	1700	1/00	1700	1700	156	-	ame
Volume to Capacity	1.02	0.51	1.00	1.00	0.15		
Queue Length (ft)	0	0	0	0	13	Distance of the second	
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.2		
Lane LOS	0.0		~ ~		20.0		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0		0.0		32.2		
Approach LOS					U		
Intersection Summary		r mandallaradaar	ni ett mint State - Safet S		allyles shulla	-	
Average Delay			0.1				
Intersection Capacity Ut	tilization		03.0%		CU Leve	el of Ser	vi

	۶	-	-		×	4	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	۲	<u>†</u> †	4ħ		¥		
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Volume (veh/h)	7	2474	3235	9	3	9	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85	
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	7	2604	3405	9	4	11	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type					TWLTL		
Median storage veh)					1		
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	3415				4727	1707	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol					3410		
vC2, stage 2 conf vol	推进增加				1317		
vCu, unblocked vol	3415				4727	1707	
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.8	6.9	
tC, 2 stage (s)					5.8		
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %	91				72	87	
cM capacity (veh/h)	80				12	82	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB1	WB 2	SB 1	
Volume Total	7	1302	1302	2270	1145	14	
Volume Left	7	0	0	0	0	4	
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	9	11	
cSH	80	1700	1700	1700	1700	34	
Volume to Capacity	0.09	0.77	0.77	1.34	0.67	0.41	
Queue Length (ft)	7	0	0	0	0	34	
Control Delay (s)	54.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	170.7	
Lane LOS	F					F	
Approach Delay (s) Approach LOS	0.2			0.0		170.7 F	
Intersection Summary	المرب بلا مربدتر		· · Munchs of account	a , ¹ , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	مريد المريد		
Average Delay			0.5				
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization	1	09.7%	(CU Lev	el of Servic	e Files Files

4/27/2007

	۶	-	-	•	>	1	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	۲	<u>†</u> †	≜ †₽		¥		
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Volume (veh/h)	9	2468	3236	38	42	8	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85	
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	9	2598	3406	40	49	9	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type				1	WLTL		地名美国法格德尔 法公司法律法律
Median storage veh)					1		
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked	0.17.01						- 41 - 10 American
vC, conflicting volume	3446				4744	1723	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol			and the second second second		3426		
vC2, stage 2 conf vol					1318		
vCu, unblocked vol	3446				4744	1723	
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.9	6.9	
tC, 2 stage (s)					5.9		
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %	88				0	88	
cM capacity (veh/h)	77				11	80	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB2	SB 1	
Volume Total	9	1299	1299	2271	1175	59	
Volume Left	9	0	0	0	0	49	
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	40	9	
cSH	77	1700	1700	1700	1700	13	
Volume to Capacity	0.12	0.76	0.76	1.34	0.69	4.58	
Queue Length (ft)	10	0	0	0	0	Err	
Control Delay (s)	57.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Err	
Lane LOS	F					F	
Approach Delay (s)	0.2			0.0		Err	
Approach LOS						F	
Intersection Summary	and the second				anna har	5,3 555 <u></u>	
Average Delay			96.3				
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization	1	10.9%	l	CU Leve	el of Service	G

	۶			×	- \	1	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	ተተ	≜ ∱		¥۴		
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		na za kuna kuna kuna kuna kuna kuna kuna kun
Volume (veh/h)	0	2821	3887	5	3	6	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85	
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	0	2969	4092	5	4	7	出现的 (1994年),这些2014年1月20日的日本市场。
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage					1011121		
Right turn flare (veh)							na na hana na na hana na hakan sa hakan sa hakan sa duba sa kuna na hana na hana na hana na hana ku kazan kuna
Median type					WLTL		
Median storage veh)					1		
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	4097				5579	2048	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol					4094		
vC2, stage 2 conf vol					1485		
vCu, unblocked vol	4097				5579	2048	
tC, single (s)	4.1		的联邦的		6.8	6.9	
tC, 2 stage (s)					5.8		
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %	100				30	85	
cM capacity (veh/h)	42				5	48	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB 2	SB 1	
Volume Total	0	1485	1485	2728	1369	11	
Volume Left	0	0	0	0	0	4	
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	5	7	
cSH	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	12	
Volume to Capacity	0.00	0.87	0.87	1.60	0.81	0.85	
Queue Length (ft)	0	0	0	0	0	47	
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	592.0	
Lane LOS						F	
Approach Delay (s)	0.0			0.0		592.0	
Approach LOS						F	
Intersection Summary		,	19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19 1 - 19			^х у аң. аласын так	
Average Delay			0.9				
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization	1	29.6%		CU Lev	el of Se	rvice H

HCM Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Analysis 25: OR 22 & 50th

	≯	→	\mathbf{i}	¥	-	*	1	Ť	1	1	Ļ	4
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	*	<u>†</u> †			† Þ			4			(}	and the second se
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Volume (veh/h)	9	2815	0	0	3867	48	0	0	0	41	0	25
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	9	2963	0	0	4071	51	0	0	0	48	0	29
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type								None		1	WLTL	
Median storage veh)											1	
Upstream signal (ft)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	4121			2963			5047	7103	1482	5596	7078	2061
vC1, stage 1 conf vol		1	r representation and				1		and the second second	4096	4096	
vC2, stage 2 conf vol										1501	2982	
vCu, unblocked vol	4121	NUMBER OF STREET		2963	On Denzie Premier		5047	7103	1482	5596	7078	2061
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.1			7.5	6.5	6.9	7.5	6.5	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)		TROPIC THROUGH	0.5 14 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10		n Chine and Annual					6.5	5.5	1001002-0023
t⊢ (s)	2.2			2.2			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
p0 queue free %	11	CERTIFICATION COL	ta fadrians. Norm	100	(All and the second second	Courses and the second	100	100	100	0	100	37
cM capacity (veh/h)	41			11/			0	0	114	2	5	47
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1	SB 1					
Volume Total	9	1482	1482	2714	1407	0	78					
Volume Left	9	0	0	0	0	0	48					
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	51	0	29					
cSH	41	1700	1700	1700	1700	1700	4				417-140-0-170-0-100-0-100-0-10	
Volume to Capacity	0.23	0.87	0.87	1.60	0.83	0.00	21.75					
Queue Length (ft)	19	0	0	0	0	0	Err			1000 Mar 10 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4		
Control Delay (s)	117.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	Err					
Lane LOS	F					A	F					
Approach Delay (s)	0.4			0.0		0.0	Err					
Approach LOS						A	F					
Intersection Summary		-	an an a state of the state	16 annoraetaurana an maraodar	و مربعه مستحم			ىمىد بىيلادى مەر				
Average Delay			108.4									
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization	1	31.9%	l in the second second	CU Leve	el of Se	rvice	Stand	Н			

	۶		-		- \	4	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations	ሻ	**	*Þ		**		
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Volume (veh/h)	2	2878	3983	4	3	0	
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85	
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)) 2	3029	4193	4	4	0	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (ft)							
Walking Speed (ft/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type					WLTL		
Median storage veh)					1		
Upstream signal (ft)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	4197				5714	2098	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol					4195		
vC2, stage 2 conf vol					1519		
vCu, unblocked vol	4197				5714	2098	
tC, single (s)	6.1				7.8	6.9	
tC, 2 stage (s)					6.8		
tF (s)	3.2				4.0	3.3	
p0 queue free %	40				0	100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	4	制制的资料			1	44	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB1	WB 2	SB 1	
Volume Total	2	1515	1515	2795	1402	4	
Volume Left	2	0	0	0	0	4	
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	4	0	
cSH	4	1700	1700	1700	1700	1	
Volume to Capacity	0.60	0.89	0.89	1.64	0.82	2.61	
Queue Length (ft)	20	0	0	0	0	32	
Control Delay (s)	1475.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4837.1	
Lane LOS	F					F	
Approach Delay (s)	1.0			0.0		4837.1	
Approach LOS						Г	
Intersection Summary						1. 336 8 4 18	Sundan and Street Anna Street and Street
Average Delay		- manufacturati-	2.8	tanaictanaise -	-	ta distante des services des	
Intersection Capacity L	Itilization		32.5%		CU Lev	el of Service	e Handeland Handeland Handeland

	۶	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	¥	+	×	•	Ť	1	5	Ļ	~
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	۲	<u>†</u> ₽		ኘ	* Þ			¢.			4	
Sign Control		Free			Free			Stop			Stop	
Grade		0%			0%			0%			0%	
Volume (veh/h)	0	2879	2	7	3982	4	5	0	9	2	0	0
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85	0.85
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	0	3031	2	7	4192	4	6	0	11	2	0	0
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)												
Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)		the second second second second	Provide sold feed of	And construction of the	Anna and an an an and a		The second s			and the second se		a tria el recordo ana
Median type								WLTL			WLTL	
Median storage veh)	storm to reater	antes contra con	1982 5-174 17-102-122-			ADENIG HIGHDANDES	PERMITAL STREET	1		145-02-10-10125-167-162	1	
Upstream signal (ft)												
pX, platoon unblocked	1100	nige weisen	lanta ricculta	0000		CTUNE CONTRACT	5440	70.10	4540			
vC, conflicting volume	4196			3033			5142	1242	1516	5/34	/241	2098
VC1, stage 1 cont vol							3032	3032		4208	4208	1710100-000
VC2, stage 2 cont vol	4400			2022			2111	4211	4540	1526	3033	0000
VCu, unbiocked voi	4196		CARDING THE	3033		100 CL 21 C	5142	1242	1516	5/34	1241	2098
tC, single (s)	4.1			4.0			1.0	0.0	0.9	1.5	0.5 E E	6.9
tC, 2 stage (s)	2.2	C. C		25		125-1271381012	0.0	5.5	2.2	0.0	0.0	2.0
	100			2.5			3.5	4.0	3.3	3.5	4.0	3.3
oM conscitu (yeb/b)	28	ASAACTERNIE (72	Incharge State	0062639999	10	100	110	2	100	100
	50 ED 4	Ên o	ÊD O			WD O	ND 4	004	110			44
Direction, Lane #	EBI	EB 2	EB 3	VVB 1	VVB Z	VVB 3	NB 1	SBT				A DECEMBER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER OF THE OWNER OWNER OF THE OWNER
Volume I otal	0	2020	1012	1	2794	1401	16	2				
Volume Left	0	0	0	1	0	0	6	2				1007039537304
	0	1700	1700	0	1700	4700	11	0				
	1700	1700	1700	12	1700	1700	23	4.00	li ta a charles a		SOME AND INCOME.	235000000044
Volume to Capacity	0.00	1.19	0.00	0.10	1.04	0.82	0.71	1.30				
Queue Length (it)	00	0.0	00	0 60 5	00	00	205 4	24		Wellseiderns	A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL	10010020000
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	00.5 E	0.0	0.0	320.4 ·	3303.5			时间的设置 值量	里尼和時
Lane LOS	0.0			Г 0.1	trustenants		205 4	2202 E			MEMIESIISOLE	55584535524
Approach Delay (S)	0.0			0.1			320.4 E	5303.0 E				是認識問題習
Approach LOS			the state for the state of the	200-7001-2-12			Г	٢				
Intersection Summary												
Average Delay			1.9	Hall Sciences	0111					A STOLLOCKO CHON		TO RECEIPTION OF
Intersection Capacity Ut	lization		32.4%		CU Lev	el of Sel	rvice		H			
	۶	-	-	*	- N#	4						
--------------------------	-----------	------	-------	-----------	--------	-------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR						
Lane Configurations	ሻ	**			**							
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop							
Grade		0%	0%		0%							
Volume (veh/h)	16	2583	3881	66	43	8	2010年前,1910年19月2日,1910年19月2日,1910年19月2日, 1910年前月1日日,1910年月1日日,1910年月1日日,1910年月1日日,1910年月1日日,1910年月1日日,1910年月1日日,1910年月1日日,1910年月1日日,1910年月1日日,1910年月1日日,					
Peak Hour Factor	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.95	0.85	0.85						
Hourly flow rate (veh/h)	17	2719	4085	69	51	9						
Pedestrians												
Lane Width (ft)		原始邮片										
Walking Speed (ft/s)												
Percent Blockage												
Right turn flare (veh)												
Median type				in said I	WLTL							
Median storage veh)					1							
Upstream signal (ft)												
pX, platoon unblocked												
vC, conflicting volume	4155				5513	2077						
vC1, stage 1 conf vol					4120							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol					1393							
vCu, unblocked vol	4155				5513	2077						
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.8	6.9						
tC, 2 stage (s)					5.8							
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3						
p0 queue free %	58				0	79						
cM capacity (veh/h)	40				5	46						
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	EB 3	WB1	WB 2	SB 1						
Volume Total	17	1359	1359	2724	1431	60						
Volume Left	17	0	0	0	0	51						
Volume Right	0	0	0	0	69	9						
cSH	40	1700	1700	1700	1700	6						
Volume to Capacity	0.42	0.80	0.80	1.60	0.84	10.76						
Queue Length (ft)	37	0	0	0	0	Err						
Control Delay (s)	150.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0,0	Err						
Lane LOS	F					F						
Approach Delay (s)	0.9			0.0		Err						
Approach LOS						F						
Intersection Summary					2 11 5	aland marine shared shared at						
Average Delay		A	86.7									
Intersection Capacity Ut	ilization	1	31.8%	l	CU Lev	el of Servic	e H					

CAL	CULATION/DESIGN RECU
	PROJECT # 8439
BY JXH	SHEET #OF
	DATE 4/12 PROJECT NAME OF BY JXH

7 20		0 1	1A	2 FEARI	
	WB	voc.	=	4140	3950
	EB	VOL	1	2910	3000

Phone: E-mail: Fax:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

Analyst:JXHAgency/Co:KittelsonDate:4/10/2007Analysis Period:Future 2030 NoBuild PMHighway:OR 22From/To:Greenwood to OR 51Jurisdiction:ODOTAnalysis Year:2030Project ID:								
	FREE-	FLOW SPEED						
Directi	on	1		2				
Lane width		12.0	ft	12.0	ft			
Lateral clearance:			_					
Right edge		6.0	ft	6.0	ft			
Leit edge		6.0	it	6.0	it			
Total lateral clearan	ce	12.0	IT	12.0	IT			
Access points per mile		o Divided		Divided				
Free-flow speed.		Base		Base				
FFS or BFFS		55 0	արի	55 0	mαh			
Lane width adjustment. FLW		0.0	mph	0.0	mph			
Lateral clearance adjustme	nt, FLC	0.0	mph	0.0	mph			
Median type adjustment, FM	,	0.0*	mph	0.0*	mph			
Access points adjustment,	FA	1.3	mph	1.5	mph			
Free-flow speed		53.8	mph	53.5	mph			
		VOLUME						
Directi	0.7	1		2				
Volume V	011	2510	wph	3080	wph			
Peak-hour factor. PHF		0 95	vpn	0 95	v pri			
Peak 15-minute volume, v15		661		811				
Trucks and buses		2	010	2	z			
Recreational vehicles		1	5	1	98			
Terrain type		Level		Level				
Grade		0.00	olo	0.00	8			
Segment length		0.00	mi	0.00	mi			
Number of lanes		2		2				
Driver population adjustme	nt, fP	1.00		1.00				
Trucks and buses PCE, ET		1.5		1.5				
Recreational vehicles PCE,	ER	1.2		1.2				
Heavy vehicle adjustment,	ΪΗV	0.988	-	0.988				
riow rate, vp		T330	pcbubT	1040	рсрирт			

Direction	1		2	
Flow rate, vp	1336	pcphpl	1640	pcphpl
Free-flow speed, FFS	53.8	mph	53.5	mph
Avg. passenger-car travel speed, S	53.8	mph	52.5	mph
Level of service, LOS	С		D	
Density, D	24.9	pc/mi/ln	31.2	pc/mi/ln

Overall results are not computed when free-flow speed is less than 45 mph.

Phone: E-mail:

Fax:

OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS

Analyst:	JXH
Agency/Co:	Kittelson
Date:	4/10/2007
Analysis Period:	Future 2030 NoBuild PM
Highway:	OR 22
From/To:	OR 51 to 50th Ave
Jurisdiction:	ODOT
Analysis Year:	2030
Project ID:	

FREE-FLOW SPEED

Direction Lane width	1 12.0	ft	2 12.0	ft
Right edge Left edge Total lateral clearance	6.0 6.0 12.0	ft ft ft	6.0 6.0 12.0	ft ft ft
Access points per mile Median type Free-flow speed:	6 Divided Base	mph	6 Divided Base	
Lane width adjustment, FLW Lateral clearance adjustment, FL Median type adjustment, FM	0.0 C 0.0 0.0*	mph mph mph	0.0 0.0 0.0*	mpn mph mph mph
Access points adjustment, FA Free-flow speed	1.5 53.5	mph mph	1.5 53.5	mph mph
	VOLUME			
Direction Volume, V Peak-hour factor, PHF Poak 15-minute volume v15	1 2910 0.95 766	vph	2 3920 0.95	vph
Trucks and buses Recreational vehicles	2 1 Level	ণ্ণ প্	2 1 Level	010 010
Grade Segment length Number of lanes	0.00 0.00 2	% mi	0.00 0.00 2	ଞ mi
Driver population adjustment, fP Trucks and buses PCE, ET Recreational vehicles PCE, ER Heavy vehicle adjustment, fHV	1.00 1.5 1.2 0.988		1.00 1.5 1.2 0.988	
Flow rate, vp	1549	pcphpl	2087	pcphpl
	RESULTS			

Direction	1	2	
Flow rate, vp	1549	pcphpl 2087	pcphpl
Free-flow speed, FFS	53.5	mph 53.5	mph
Avg. passenger-car travel speed, S	53.0	mph	mph
Level of service, LOS	D	F	
Density, D	29.2	pc/mi/ln	pc/mi/ln

Overall results are not computed when free-flow speed is less than 45 mph.

Phone: E-mail: Fax:

_____OPERATIONAL ANALYSIS______

Analyst: Agency/Co: Date: Analysis Period: Highway: From/To: Jurisdiction: Analysis Year: Project ID:	JXH Kittelson 4/10/2007 Future 2030 OR 22 50th Ave to ODOT 2030	NoBuild Doaks	РМ			
. <u></u>		FREE-1	LOW SPEED			
	Direction		1		2	
Lane width	DITECTON		12.0	ft	12.0	ft
Lateral clearance	e:					
Right edge			6.0	ft	6.0	ft
Left edge			6.0	ft	6.0	ft
Total latera	al clearance		12.0	ft	12.0	ft
Access points per	r mile		6		6	
Median type			Divided		Divided	
Free-flow speed:			Base		Base	
FFS or BFFS			60.0	mph	60.0	mph
Lane width adjust	tment, FLW	FT 6	0.0	mph	0.0	mph
Lateral clearance	e adjustment,	FLC	0.0	mph	0.0	mph
Median type adju	stment, FM		0.0*	mpn	0.0*	mpn
Access points ad	justment, FA		1.J	mpn	L.J E0 E	mpn
Free-110W speed			58.5	mpn	50.5	мрп
			VOLUME			
	Direction		1		2	
Volume, V			3000	vph	3950	vph
Peak-hour factor	, PHF		0.95		0.95	
Peak 15-minute ve	olume, v15		789		1039	
Trucks and buses			2	00	2	Po
Recreational veh	icles		1	0-0 0	1	90 07
Terrain type			Level		Level	_
Grade			0.00	5	0.00	8
Segment leng	th		0.00	Ml	0.00	ml
Number of lanes		fD	2		Z	
Driver population	n adjustment,	, IP	1.00		1 5	
Trucks and Duses	ICL, LI ICLOS DOF ET	5	1 2		1 2	
Heavy vehicle ad	iustmont fur	7	1.2		1.2 0 988	
Flow rate vo	Juscment, In	v	1597	ncphpl	2103	ncnhnl
1100 1000/ VP			,	L-L		L -LL -
		1	RESULTS			

Direction		1		2	
Flow rate, vp		1597	pcphpl	2103	pcphpl
Free-flow speed, FFS		58.5	mph	58.5	mph
Avg. passenger-car travel speed, S	S	57.7	mph	54.4	mph
Level of service, LOS		D		E	_
Density, D		27.7	pc/mi/ln	38.7	pc/mi/ln

Overall results are not computed when free-flow speed is less than 45 mph.









LEGEND

LEGEND V/C = CRITICAL VOLUME-TO-CAPACITY RATIO

